## GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA STATE HEALTH PLANNING AGENCY

+ + + + +

## MARIJUANA PRIVATE CLUB TASK FORCE

+ + + + +

## **MEETING**

+ + + + +

FRIDAY AUGUST 19, 2016

+ + + + +

The Marijuana Private Club Task
Force met in Conference Room 216, 899 North
Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., at 10:05
a.m., LaQuandra Nesbitt, Chair, presiding.

#### PRESENT

- LAQUANDRA NESBITT, MD, MPH, Director, Department of Health
- HELDER GIL, Legislative and Policy Advisor,
  Office of the Deputy Mayor for Public
  Safety
- TAI MEAH, Legislative Director, Office of Councilmember Brianne Nadeau
- FRED MOOSALLY, Director, Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration
- BRIANNE NADEAU, Ward 1 Councilmember, Council of the District of Columbia
- KELLY O'MEARA, Director of Strategic Change, Metropolitan Police Department
- JORDAN RUMMEL, Director of Communications,
  Office of Councilmember Brandon Todd
  MAUREEN ZANIEL, Senior Assistant Attorney

General, Office of the Attorney General

## ALSO PRESENT

DYMOND BURNETT, DOH Intern

PATRICE DICKERSON, Office of Government

Relations

JASMINE GOSSEH, DOH

PHILLIP HUSBAND, ESQ., General Counsel

SHARON LEWIS, DHA, RN-BC, CPM, Interim Senior

Deputy Director, HRLA

BEZAWIT MEKONNEN, DOH Intern

JACQUELINE WATSON, D.O., Chief of Staff

CARLA WILLIAMS, ESQ., Assistant General Counsel

# CONTENTS

Review of Current DC Marijuana Laws
Policy
Data on Marijuana Use in DC45
Review of Private Clubs in
Other Jurisdictions
Taxation and Regulation of Non-Medical
Marijuana Sales
Recommendations and Next Steps
Adjourn

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

10:07 a.m.

CHAIR NESBITT: All right, let's go ahead and get started. We have quite a bit to cover, although our agenda, as posted in the DC Register, is relatively short, and intentionally so, as we have our final convening of the Marijuana Private Club Task Force.

Today's agenda requires us to review the draft Marijuana Private Club Task Force Report that we have been working on virtually since our last convening, during the month of July.

We thank all of you for having reviewed the previous transcript and providing more content. Summaries of things that may not have been captured in a thorough narrative based on our verbal discussions in the meetings form the outline that we approved at our previous meeting in July.

And then we need to have our sort of final recommendation in terms of whether or not

the private clubs should be permissible, based on our deliberations and discussions.

We have tabled having that part of the discussion, or sort of both/or opinions from each member of the group until this final meeting, such that we would actually be thoughtful and deliberative about all of the other aspects of it in terms of location, defining membership, and all of the other aspects that were outlined in the commission that required the task force in the Mayor's order.

I did want to highlight, before we get into the details of the report, a couple of things that we did not spend a significant amount of time discussing, or any time discussing, that were in the Mayor's order.

There were two things in particular.

One that we didn't discuss at all, which were around hours of operation. And the second one that we sort of talked about tangentially but didn't have anything definitive around were the limits on the location and number of venues

allowed to operate in the District.

I think we had a conversation around it such that we believe there should be some limits and restrictions similar to the cultivation center and dispensary limitations or quotas that are outlined in the Medical Marijuana Program.

But we never created sort of this definitive quota or structure in the report. So there's nothing conclusive that we put forth in a recommendation. And so I wanted to gauge the group's, take the group's pulse around actually whether or not we would do something similar to ownership, where we would simply replicate what is currently in the medical marijuana regulations.

Or if we would just opine that there should be some type of restrictions around the number, but defer to a later date for what that actual number and process would be.

So I'm opening that up for initial discussion before we get into the sort of editing

and reviewing of the draft report and finalizing of the draft report, because those are two issues that were specifically outlined in the order that we didn't sort of finalize or opine on.

MR. MOOSALLY: Well, I'll start since,
I mean, I think we were asked to look at the two
issues, I think we should say something. I'm not
saying we've got to have a comprehensive review,
but at least address the issues.

I mean, I think on hours of operation,
I would probably just suggest that we, you know,
they do the same as on premises alcohol hours.
You know, I think we have in the report treatment
similarly, so I think we can say something about
that. If you want me to get into what those are,
I can.

And then I think on the location, I mean, I think obviously, we addressed that partially by saying we didn't think they should be in residential zones. So we addressed that a little bit. But I do think we should have a discussion on the quota issue, whether it's the

distance they were located from each other, or a quota to start.

I think, you know, I think we've taken a good approach and a conscious approach on this, and obviously I don't think the intention's just to put these places everywhere.

Now, I'm not so sure they can, just because of the fact of the, well, the not-for-profit and other criteria. I think there's some restrictions that would probably negate them just popping up everywhere. But I do think it does make sense to have some type of, you know, limit to start.

CHAIR NESBITT: Right. So, to your point, part of the, in my review of the report and looking back at the original order, I had the same thought initially in our discussion around the zoning criteria and only allowing in commercial and industrial zones, whether or not that adequately addressed the locations piece.

And I believe we have in the report a piece around ANC engagement. And that in and of

itself creates a checkpoint.

I do know that there in the discussions that led to the permanent ban was a significant concern from community folks who expressed opposition, was the concern for having any of these anywhere near them, and a concern about them creating more of a perception about the lack of harm and risk for use amongst out youth.

And we've seen what the data looks
like for perceptions of risk for youth. And so
if these were to occur on every street corner.

And so that was, to me, whether or not the reason
why it was placed in the order for us to have a
discussion around it, was for us to offer some
expertise around some numerical piece.

Now, we could stop here, just as we have with the fees piece, you know. We ended up in a space of, well, in applying so many other regulatory factors, and their inability to sell or have so many other activities on premises, you have to figure out a way for them to be

financially solvent.

MR. MOOSALLY: Sure.

CHAIR NESBITT: And it's going to be up to them to determine the business practices that allow them to be financially solvent.

So for us to sit here at a table and deliberate and say the fees must be, you know, \$100, and then a sliding scale for, you know, it would be not the best, we wouldn't be in the best informed position to do that. And so we deferred from making that decision, although it was outlined in the order.

MR. MOOSALLY: Sure.

CHAIR NESBITT: The same with the occupancy limits. We said that they should exist, but we haven't, you know, gone out and set a number, there can be no more than 50 people in a place at a time. We haven't made those types of things.

And we could end here with a quotas piece. I just want us to have had that discussion and been very intentional as to why

we're not slapping a number per ward today, and have a rationale for why we're not.

MR. GIL: I think another rationale for that would be it opens up the ability for Council to take a look at whether the current limitations on the number of dispensaries and the medical marijuana cultivation centers that are in dispensaries per ward, if those numbers should be looked at.

Because they were set at a time when we were at the start of the program, there was a lot of concern about the impact on crime and property values and everything else.

Doing it this way, of saying there probably should be caps per ward or distance limitations, but it needs to be viewed also in the context of the existing medical marijuana cultivation center dispensary caps distances, since we now have several years' worth of experience and data showing any of the negative externalities and impacts of that program.

So it affords both Council and the

public the opportunity to have a more fuller and robust discussion on that aspect.

CHAIR NESBITT: So making a statement like, we recommend that there should be caps per ward and there should be distance requirements for within, between facilities, and restrictions around places that provide services to youth or are accessible to youth.

However, they need to be reviewed in the context of the existing facilities that grow or dispense marijuana, and the community's experience with such facilities as it relates to safety, and crime and safety.

MR. GIL: Exactly.

MS. O'MEARA: And I would actually say probably what's going to be more impactful will be not so much the dispensaries and the cultivation centers, but off-premises licensed establishments. That's actually going to be a more similar clientele likely than what we would find at the --

CHAIR NESBITT: Dispensaries.

MS. O'MEARA: Yes, the dispensary or the cultivation centers, which wouldn't have a clientele at all.

CHAIR NESBITT: Yes. So, to that point, Kelly, I would say that we would probably have a need to balance both.

MS. O'MEARA: Yes.

CHAIR NESBITT: Because the clientele is going to probably be similar to the ABRA establishments, but the public's perceptions are going to be --

MS. O'MEARA: Yes.

CHAIR NESBITT: What happens at a cultivation -- even though there is no clientele at a cultivation center. The public's perception is that it's the fact that marijuana is on premises that makes it a vulnerable point.

Because people believe that there's going to be someone always trying to break in and get to the marijuana, even though we know that that is not what's happened in the several years' history now that we have, of having these

cultivation centers and having these dispensaries, is that no one is actually committing crimes, going in and actually having anything happen.

And although we've made the recommendation in terms of the activities that could occur on the facilities, the storage, we have made a recommendation that there should be a security plan consistent with what the security plans are for the cultivation centers and the dispensaries. Because there could be marijuana on the premises 24/7.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: So I just want to say, you know, having been one of the authors of the legislation that established the task force, the Council -- the discussion that I was a part of really made it clear that the Council doesn't necessarily feel comfortable saying there should be this many per ward. And really has looked to the Task Force to sort of provide that guidance.

Because there was alternate

legislation proposed that was one per ward, and we couldn't get an agreement on getting that passed. So I'd think whatever we report out, if it could provide as much guidance as possible.

But I think if we lead with the space between, the amount of distance between establishments, if we lead with that, if we lead with, you know, proximity to spaces that we consider higher risk or places we want to protect, then I think that ultimately is going to provide the most guidance.

And as you mentioned, Director, the influence that the ANCs have on where things are located within their SMDs also will really provide a check on that.

So even if we do say, you know, perhaps there should be a cap per ward, I would urge us not to say it strongly and not to lead with it. Because I think those other factors are much more important. Whereas a cap per ward is a little more arbitrary.

MR. MOOSALLY: So you're saying lead

1	with there should be a distance requirement and,
2	based on, you know, I think the factors we
3	looked, you know, we should look at the distance
4	between schools, rec centers, and then I think
5	some of the other stuff you mentioned.
6	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Yes, exactly.
7	MR. MOOSALLY: And we could also
8	mention the cap.
9	MS. ZANIEL: And are we going to
10	consider distances between not only these
11	facilities, but bars? These facilities to bars?
12	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Well, if we do
13	that, we won't have many.
14	MR. MOOSALLY: I mean, I think there
15	should be a distance requirement between each
16	other, right?
17	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Right.
18	MR. MOOSALLY: I mean, you really want
19	that. You don't want to have like three or four
20	together.
21	MS. O'MEARA: Maybe instead of a
22	distance, it's more about the saturation.

1	MR. MOOSALLY: That could work too.
2	MS. O'MEARA: Yes.
3	MR. MOOSALLY: Because we have over-
4	concentration criteria based on numbers.
5	MS. O'MEARA: So maybe that.
6	MR. MOOSALLY: You can only have a
7	certain amount within a certain area, right.
8	MS. O'MEARA: Right.
9	MR. MOOSALLY: You can do that as
10	well.
11	MS. O'MEARA: Is that effective in how
12	you license?
13	MR. MOOSALLY: Yes, we can do that.
14	Yes, the other ones we have are like, you know,
15	400 to a distance, 600 to a distance. Between
16	schools, day cares, within, you know, the same
17	type facilities, those type of things.
18	CHAIR NESBITT: Does yours include
19	Parks too?
20	MR. MOOSALLY: It's rec, yes, it's rec
21	centers operated by the Department of Parks and
22	Rec. So anything operated by DPR.

CHAIR NESBITT: And the day cares,
that includes all licensed day care facilities?

MR. MOOSALLY: So right now, the way,
what we have now is, you're absolutely barred
from applying if you're within 400 feet of any
type of school or a DPR rec facility.

You can apply, but it's basically what kind of counts against you is if you're close to a day care or a child care facility, or a library. It's not an absolute bar from applying, but it's factors that can be used to deny your application. You have to kind of overcome the presumption that, you know, it won't be an issue.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: The more we can, I mean, you've heard me say this before, but the more we can mirror the ABC regs, I think the more we're getting at what our constituents had in mind with the original Initiative 71.

CHAIR NESBITT: Right, so there's the constituents who had something in mind with Initiative 71, and then there's the constituents who have concerns about the proliferation of

these types of places, and we have to balance 1 2 both interests. 3 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: I agree, but 4 you know, if we are creating a regulatory 5 framework, I think we are doing that. Right, right, I don't 6 CHAIR NESBITT: 7 disagree with that. But I think we have to make sure that we're not just only doing what those 8 9 who authored Initiative 71 had in mind. 10 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Well, it's not 11 just the authors, it's the 75% of voters who 12 passed it. 13 CHAIR NESBITT: Yes. But there are also 14 the non-electorate people who have interests. 15 MS. O'MEARA: Yes. And also I think 16 the Initiative 71 was murky enough that it isn't 17 clear, necessarily, that, you know, this is 75%, 18 what they thought they were voting for in terms 19 of establishments. So, it is a balance between 20 sort of the perception of what the interpretation 21 of it is, so.

CHAIR NESBITT: And so the hours

1	piece, similar to on-premises alcohol
2	MR. MOOSALLY: I would just say
3	similar to on-premises, yes, alcohol
4	establishments.
5	CHAIR NESBITT: Okay. Now there's
6	variability for on-premises alcohol in certain
7	segments of the city, correct?
8	MR. MOOSALLY: No.
9	CHAIR NESBITT: No?
10	MR. MOOSALLY: No, you, yes, it's the
11	same, so the hours
12	PARTICIPANT: It's based on
13	MR. MOOSALLY: So, what, yes
14	CHAIR NESBITT: There's some
15	variability. Go ahead.
16	MR. MOOSALLY: There's some
17	variability, but it's not based upon where you're
18	located in the city.
19	CHAIR NESBITT: Okay, okay.
20	MR. MOOSALLY: So the hours are, start
21	at 8 a.m. and go till 2 a.m. during the week and
22	3 a.m. on weekends.

CHAIR NESBITT: Okay.

MR. MOOSALLY: Those are the clean hours. There are some other things like holidays and stuff, I'm staying away from that. I think we're going to do something, it should be clean and easy to understand.

CHAIR NESBITT: Okay.

MR. MOOSALLY: So that's where we are there. So you have, you don't have to --

MS. O'MEARA: But the voluntary agreements.

MR. MOOSALLY: Right. So we settlement agreements with neighborhoods that restrict hours. So have you have limits that way. And then you don't have to apply for the maximum hours. So you may only apply for lesser hours.

And it's basically, the most you're going to get is what you apply for. And then, you know, if you buy somebody else's business, it's like buying a used car. You're limited to what they had.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: So I wonder if this might make people feel a little bit more comfortable, the concept of mirroring the ABC regs. So when a new licensee applies --

MR. MOOSALLY: Correct.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: The ANC gets to weigh in on it. They get to express concerns based on what factors?

MR. MOOSALLY: So the ANC gets to protest or give comments based on a number of criteria. One is peace, order, and quiet concerns, which are basically litter, criminal activity, rowdy behavior, loitering, noise, those type of things.

Also they get to weigh in on vehicular and pedestrian safety, parking property, real property values. They can protest based upon over-concentration. They can have a variety of concerns they hear from neighbors, you know, that they want to make sure that are addressed. And that's why you enter into settlement agreements or you go to a hearing.

1 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: So having been 2 in ANC, I really do believe in that process. 3 MR. MOOSALLY: It's a good process. COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: It's a good 4 5 And so I think that we may even like process. to, when writing this out, provide that little 6 snippet so people understand what we're saying 7 when we say, you know, this will come before the 8 9 ANC and be judged based on duh duh duh. 10 Because I think that also would create 11 more comfort for people who are concerned these 12 are going to be everywhere and I'm going to have 13 no control. Which really isn't the case if the 14 ANC has the opportunity to weigh in. 15 MR. GIL: So is the suggestion that we 16 give ANC the same settlement agreement ability 17 that they have now with ABC licenses? 18 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Absolutely. 19 MR. MOOSALLY: To raise your point 20 over concentration for factors, I think that ties 21 into that whole issue we've talked about of

making sure they're not too close to each other.

Exactly. 1 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: 2 MS. O'MEARA: Well, to add to that, and I apologize that I wasn't here, that I was on 3 4 leave at the last meeting, was there any 5 discussion about the reimbursable details, and potentially changing that law? 6 MR. MOOSALLY: I think you're the 7 8 first one to bring that up. 9 MS. O'MEARA: That's, for those who 10 don't know, reimbursable detail is what is 11 allowed in the law for -- police officers are not

But that it also allows them to be hired to work outside the establishment on public space. And they're hired through the department, so they are still working for police and for the city, for the taxpayers, ensuring safety on

allowed to work directly for ABC establishments.

I would propose that the similar establish -- a

similar rule be put in place regarding this.

MR. MOOSALLY: And just to be clear, they wouldn't be allowed inside, just so we're

public space.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 clear on that. 2 MS. O'MEARA: Well, I mean, they can do police action inside. 3 4 MR. MOOSALLY: Well, right, right. 5 MS. O'MEARA: But they don't work for the establishment. It's to avoid any conflict of 6 7 interest. 8 MR. MOOSALLY: Right. 9 MS. O'MEARA: Of both being, you know, 10 regulatory agency enforcing laws at the 11 establishment, and working for the establishment. 12 So I think we'd want to do both of those. 13 CHAIR NESBITT: Okay, Kelly, so I'm 14 clear, who hires them to work on a public right 15 of way? 16 MS. O'MEARA: The establishment. 17 MR. MOOSALLY: The establishment goes 18 to MPD. They are always an MPD employee, they 19 don't work directly for the establishment. 20 you go down to MPD, you sign up for the detail. 21 You pay, right now, the establishment pays 30%,

we pay the other 70%, and --

1 MS. O'MEARA: I mean, that's a 2 different question. That's the subsidy. MR. MOOSALLY: Okay. Well, fine. 3 4 They can pay 100%, I don't care. But what I was 5 trying to clarify, what I just wanted to make clear, is they don't work directly for the 6 7 establishment. 8 MS. O'MEARA: Correct. 9 MR. MOOSALLY: That was the point I 10 was trying to make. 11 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: So if you go to 12 Adams Morgan, say, on a Friday or a Saturday 13 night, you'll see many, many police officers 14 They're not part of the regular detail, there. 15 they're paid for by the businesses who pool their 16 money to make sure there's extra security on the 17 street. 18 MS. O'MEARA: For Adams Morgan, it may 19 be a little bit different. 20 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Combination. 21 MS. O'MEARA: Yes. It's a 22 combination. Because we also, you know, two

1	years ago, the chief started the Nightlife Unit.
2	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Right.
3	MS. O'MEARA: And so that's
4	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: You're right.
5	So bad example, but, you know, we've done it in
6	other places. It's sort of you, you'll see extra
7	presence. They're still in uniform there.
8	MS. O'MEARA: And I think the issue of
9	whether or not they would be eligible for the
LO	subsidy
L1	MR. MOOSALLY: That's a different
L2	question.
L3	MS. O'MEARA: It's another issue
L4	altogether.
L5	MR. MOOSALLY: Thank you, that's fine.
L6	We can have the discussion later. Thank you.
L7	MS. O'MEARA: You work on that budget,
L8	so you have a better idea of
L9	MR. MOOSALLY: I thought MPD was going
20	to help. But I just think it's important to
21	clarify that they still remain under the control
22	of MPD at all times, right.

1	MS. O'MEARA: Exactly, and that's the
2	critical part.
3	MR. MOOSALLY: Right.
4	MS. O'MEARA: It differs from
5	something like CVS or another type of
6	establishment that can't hire off-duty police
7	officers directly. So I could write up
8	something.
9	CHAIR NESBITT: Okay.
10	MR. MOOSALLY: And that would be good
11	for the security section.
12	CHAIR NESBITT: So we need a other
13	considerations bullet.
14	MR. MOOSALLY: Okay.
15	CHAIR NESBITT: Okay, all right. So
16	I think those were the two, we didn't do
17	penalties either, but I think that's like way
18	premature for
19	MR. MOOSALLY: The only, one point you
20	made, and I just, if it's easy.
21	CHAIR NESBITT: Go ahead.
22	MR. MOOSALLY: So you talked about

occupancy, so I don't why we couldn't just say that they'd be limited to the amount of patrons that were on their Certificate of Occupancy issued by DCRA. Because, I mean, at the end of the day, I mean, DCRA determines what a safe number of patrons is permitted inside.

Now they can get into what factors those are, but at the end of the day, if DCRA says you can only have 50 and they give you C of O for 50, that's all you can have. So I mean that seems to me pretty easy.

CHAIR NESBITT: Right. I don't believe that there is anything unique to the activities that are occurring that makes the physical number of people in the space, makes it necessary to restrict the physical number of people in the space, given that we have talked about the need for a security plan, we've made recommendations for a special attendant, those types of things. So.

MR. MOOSALLY: Totally agree. It just seems like an easy one. It's like you got, it's

the number designated on your Certificate of 1 2 Occupancy by DCRA. Thank you. 3 CHAIR NESBITT: All right. Now we 4 can flip to the document. The most recent 5 version is attached to your agenda. you're like me, you have other things that you 6 7 have marked up. There are a couple of minor fixes and 8 9 things that we need to have. Like I think we're 10 missing a title for Maureen but we have one for 11 everybody else, so we need to circle back to 12 that. 13 MS. ZANIEL: I noticed that last 14 night. 15 CHAIR NESBITT: Yes. 16 MS. ZANIEL: And it's Attorney General 17 for the District of Columbia, rather than of, on 18 page three. CHAIR NESBITT: So some of these will 19 20 have to --21 DR. WATSON: Maureen. 22 MS. ZANIEL: I'm sorry?

DR. WATSON: What's your title?

MS. ZANIEL: Senior Assistant Attorney

General.

With these. Yes, we'll start on the first and then kind of work through with peoples' -- I think for the sake of time it'll be best for us to do the major like content and topical edits, and then leave sort of, even though I just pointed out that everybody else had a title except for Maureen, leave those other sort of grammatical and stylistic edits for offline.

So that way we can get through sort of the, No, that's not what we meant, that's not what we intended, or This is missing, this wasn't captured sort of edits.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Can I offer one on page two before we go that is to me substantive and important but may otherwise be considered technical, which is Mayor Bowser established the Marijuana Private Club Task Force in April 2016 is technically true. However, the

1	Council offered legislation requiring the Mayor
2	to establish, yes.
3	CHAIR NESBITT: That required.
4	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Thank you.
5	Okay, that's all.
6	MS. O'MEARA: Going back to the first
7	page, the Initiative 71 actually legalized the
8	possession of recreational marijuana, not
9	decriminalized. Because the first legislation
10	decriminalized.
11	CHAIR NESBITT: Was it in 2014?
12	MS. O'MEARA: Yes. And then there's
13	a tricky issue in terms of federal land and
14	federal law enforcement. It's not just on
15	federal land that they can enforce federal laws.
16	They can enforce federal laws and make arrests
17	under federal laws anywhere in the District.
18	CHAIR NESBITT: They just choose to
19	enforce only on federal land?
20	MS. O'MEARA: Oh, no, they do it
21	elsewhere too. So if they do a traffic stop.
22	CHAIR NESBITT: And you have

1	possession, they'll okay.
2	MS. O'MEARA: Yes.
3	CHAIR NESBITT: That's good to know.
4	Not that I'm walking around with weed and just
5	thinking. That's good to know.
6	MS. O'MEARA: Yes, so the end of the
7	first paragraph, it says, And federal law
8	enforcement may arrest under federal law anywhere
9	in the District. So I would take out the clause
10	
11	DR. WATSON: I'll
12	CHAIR NESBITT: Do what? You'll take
13	out the what?
14	DR. WATSON: Just tell me the words,
15	and then I'll move it in correctly.
16	MS. O'MEARA: And federal law
17	enforcement.
18	DR. WATSON: And don't worry about
19	MS. O'MEARA: Yes, of course. May
20	enforce federal marijuana laws anywhere in the
21	District.
22	MR. GIL: I would add in, in the

previous sentence that starts Under District law, a reference that no amount of marijuana can be sold.

DR. WATSON: Right here?

MR. GIL: Yes, in that sentence. So it cannot be smoked, consumed, yes. Somewhere in there just making it clear no amount of marijuana can be sold.

CHAIR NESBITT: All right, so if we can go to item number four, which is where we pretty much summarize Initiative 71, have a statement on the Congressional Rider.

MS. O'MEARA: Before we get to the Congressional Rider, I will send you data for what you have for footnote three. We don't know where OSSE got that data. It appears to be from a survey. I am on page five, under section four. Footnote three. So I'll send you the data on that.

CHAIR NESBITT: I think that reference is wrong. And if we should reference the tables that are in the marijuana report that was

released, instead of whatever this reference is. 1 2 MS. O'MEARA: Yes, that would be fine. That'll keep it consistent. 3 4 CHAIR NESBITT: Because Kelly has 5 already reviewed that data and is comfortable with it. And we've already released. 6 7 MR. GIL: It's more recent too, right? CHAIR NESBITT: Yes, with the data in 8 9 the marijuana report. Okay. 10 MS. O'MEARA: And then on the 11 you're not going by the page. Right before the 12 section B on limitations on marijuana 13 legislation, we need to adjust that last sentence 14 as well, make it match what we put previously. 15 To ensure that it's clear that they can be 16 arrested anywhere. 17 CHAIR NESBITT: All right, and so this 18 next section that talks about the rider. 19 That section, I would change MR. GIL: 20 Which the rider, it's an OAG opinion, if I 21 remember this correctly, from last year that said

Council could not hold a hearing on the bill, it

could hold a round table, but it could not hold a 1 2 hearing. So I don't think it's the rider itself 3 4 that said that, as much as OAG's interpretation 5 last year was that's what the rider granted it. So take out? 6 DR. WATSON: 7 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Was it OAG or was it our general counsel? 8 9 MS. ZANIEL: Well, OAG does have an 10 opinion. 11 CHAIR NESBITT: They actually issued 12 a formal opinion. 13 MR. MOOSALLY: Yes, it's included in 14 here. 15 CHAIR NESBITT: Oh, okay, so 16 otherwise, this should either be replaced with a 17 summary of the rider? 18 MS. ZANIEL: Well, this is the summary 19 of the whole general discussion. I think what, 20 if I understand what you want it to say or what 21 your point is, is that the Office of the Attorney 22 General also opines that the rider prohibit it.

MR. GIL: Right, just to make it clear 1 2 that it's not the rider itself that prohibits it, it's the interpretation, OAG's interpretation at 3 the time was that based on --4 5 MS. ZANIEL: Right, because the rider doesn't specifically address hearings or anything 6 else. 7 8 MR. GIL: Exactly. MS. ZANIEL: 9 I got you. 10 I might also just point MS. BOECKER: 11 out the rider language has changed, so I don't 12 know if you want to actually include both 13 versions of the language. 14 MR. GIL: That's right. 15 CHAIR NESBITT: So here where it says, 16 The rider does however prohibit the District 17 from, that you're recommending that it says, The 18 opinion issued on whatever the date of this memo 19 is --20 MR. MOOSALLY: February 4. 21 CHAIR NESBITT: February 4, 2015. 22 MR. GIL: Yes, just to clarify this

1	part of it for the hearing. Because I think the
2	rest of it is
3	DR. WATSON: Well, just tell me what
4	line I know we're in this paragraph, where are
5	you talking about, Helder?
6	MR. GIL: I'm talking about the
7	section that you're at, that.
8	DR. WATSON: The process.
9	MR. GIL: Yes.
10	DR. WATSON: Okay. Because you
11	brought that, okay.
12	MS. O'MEARA: That's correct.
13	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Perhaps after
14	this graph, we can add a section detailing what
15	the new rider actually says. The second rider.
16	MR. GIL: The second rider, the only
17	difference is what, the emergency contingency
18	cash? Yes. So it's generally
19	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: So we could say
20	the second rider clarified.
21	CHAIR NESBITT: It's even more
22	restrictive.

1	MR. GIL: Yes, it's even more
2	restrictive.
3	CHAIR NESBITT: It's even more
4	restrictive, it's not like it's
5	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: So you wouldn't
6	add it?
7	CHAIR NESBITT: Right, it's not that
8	it's a better rider. It's more restrictive.
9	MR. GIL: I guess one, two, the
10	third sentence, it starts The rider prohibited
11	the District from using federal, local, or
12	contingency cash funds to enact measures.
13	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: But that's
14	referring to the
15	MS. ZANIEL: That's the first rider.
16	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Yes.
17	MR. GIL: Oh, that's, oh, I see.
18	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: So where you
19	are, I think
20	DR. WATSON: Back down here.
21	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Yes.
22	DR. WATSON: And so a second rider.

1	What did you want to say, Councilmember?
2	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: And then we'll
3	give my
4	MS. ZANIEL: So why don't we say
5	Congress passed another rider that imposed even
6	further restrictions on the use of funds. Are
7	you satisfied with that?
8	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Yes, that makes
9	sense.
LO	MR. GIL: Yes. We could tweak out
L1	federal
L2	MS. ZANIEL: Yes, I'm not going to
L3	into particular words.
L4	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Thank you.
L5	CHAIR NESBITT: All right, so in
L6	section five. Okay, I thought we deleted this
L7	section. But there was some or, because no
L8	recommendation should be in this part.
L9	Recommendations should not start until, well, you
20	don't have page numbers for this.
21	DR. WATSON: The part that's on the
22	line.

1 CHAIR NESBITT: Yes. 2 DR. WATSON: So I have moved to activities at other locations. 3 4 CHAIR NESBITT: Okay, so you all can 5 ignore what's underlined. I got it. 6 MR. MOOSALLY: 7 CHAIR NESBITT: So in this section, for Council, what we were attempting to achieve 8 9 here, one of the meetings we held was basically 10 having every entity that's represented on the 11 task force sort of talk about how, since we've 12 started implementing the legislative policies or 13 administrative policies, how it influenced the 14 operations or activities of the respective 15 agencies. 16 And so for Council in particular, we 17 would be looking for in this section sort of how 18 has this impacted the activities of the Council. 19 So, you know, more legislative activities, more, 20 you get where I'm going. 21 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Yes, so --

CHAIR NESBITT: You've held round

tables, you've had to have multiple hearings on 1 2 increasing your changing plant counts related to the medical marijuana program, you've revised the 3 4 restrictions on the medical marijuana program 5 from this to that. Some of it we've covered in our 6 7 sections, but there may be other activities that the Council has conducted that are outside of 8 9 what agencies have covered here. 10 And so we've moved the one related to 11 the tax and regulation bill to the tax and 12 regulation piece. It would also, I think, be 13 good to have here the task force piece here, 14 because you start with the, you know, I think 15 there was a piece in here about the frustrations 16 of folks. 17 So the decision to hold that public 18 forum there and some of the things that came out 19 of the public forum and the --20 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Right, so the 21

The engagement, so I

CHAIR NESBITT:

1	know there was a summary package that you all had
2	
3	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Yes, we can
4	agree to that.
5	CHAIR NESBITT: And we don't have in
6	Word to be able to cut or paste anything from.
7	So if you want to send us a blurb from that
8	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: We can do that.
9	CHAIR NESBITT: To pop in here.
10	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: I think what we
11	should add above what's already there is the date
12	and description of the legislation we passed
13	chartering the task force, the date and
14	description of the legislation we passed banning
15	clubs.
16	And then we can share with you that,
17	we can send you the notes in Word version of what
18	happened. But
19	CHAIR NESBITT: Just like assembled,
20	yes, the header or summary of that, yes.
21	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Yes, that's
22	fine. And yes, and I think you're right, the

other belongs in a different place. And I don't think we need to beat a dead horse so much.

I mean if you want we can talk about, we can mention the round tables and we can then, that we had in lieu of hearings on the regulatory framework, but I don't -- and we can talk about the legislation around dispensaries, they're just a little bit beyond scope of the club stuff.

So it's your call on that.

CHAIR NESBITT: And so, I mean, we've talked about in some of the other sections, just like how marijuana policy sends us on these, having to shut down these illegal businesses who time and time and time again misinterpret what's allowable under Initiative 71.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Right.

CHAIR NESBITT: Because that creates this whole gray area about what you can and can't do. And so we have, that's part of the reason why we still need to do a lot more under a regulatory framework.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Absolutely.

1	CHAIR NESBITT: So, just kind of
2	giving you the context for why we even have to
3	have this task force. So people kind of
4	understand the scope of what it is we have to
5	work for it.
6	So the next one is just data on
7	marijuana use in the District of Columbia.
8	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: I actually have
9	more feedback.
10	CHAIR NESBITT: More feedback, okay.
11	All right.
12	DR. WATSON: On the data?
13	MS. O'MEARA: Yes. Just the way the
14	information is presented about the rate of
15	arrests being higher for African Americans, we've
16	real concerns that that is not a very
17	CHAIR NESBITT: It's not written in
18	the right way.
19	MS. O'MEARA: Yes, it's not a useful
20	piece for the government to be promoting, saying
21	that that is the only way to look at the data.
22	And we've written extensively about that level of

analysis.

CHAIR NESBITT: Bessie and I had this long discussion about the way people read it and still present it instead of looking at it as, you know, there's been a significant decrease in arrests, and even the disparity ratio that existed significantly decreased. And people don't communicate it that way at all.

MS. O'MEARA: Right. Well, the issue is, you know, it's also geographic, it's, you know, density of where it's being used, is it being used inside or outside. It's related to education levels. Marijuana use is, and you know, more highly correlated with education levels and employment levels than with race.

CHAIR NESBITT: Right, so, and there's, I had some comments on one of my markup forms, Dr. Watson, about the preceding bullet, around the academic performance piece.

MS. O'MEARA: Yes, when it's -(Simultaneous speaking.)

CHAIR NESBITT: And whether or not the

-- right, and some of the wording around that.

So there's a couple of things that I have to add
to the language on that one too, as well, Kelly,
so we can compare notes on that.

All right, so I think now we're into recommendations. So section seven officially begins sort of our Mayor's order work. So the way that we sort of began to restructure this yesterday is that we had to do a lot of background work to get to where we were making a recommendation.

So we teased this out to put the recommendations of the task force under each one of these headers in a bold sort of section. So for each one of these, the recommendation appears at the end.

So you'll have all this background stuff where ABRA and DCRA had to teach some of us, well, the nuances of licensing requirements and zoning requirements and all of those things.

And then at the end, we had to say,
Okay, so what would we say the licensing and

zoning requirements would be for a private club?

And so our recommendations for it are at the

bottom instead of being imbedded in the context

of it.

And I noticed in the initial draft that we had totally missed the piece where DCRA would basically create a new category.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Yes, thank you.

That's what I was worried about.

CHAIR NESBITT: Yes, so that part was written in yesterday. So that's a new edition where they would create a new category specifically for licensing for these entities that wouldn't require them to fit into either, any of the other ones.

But the zoning considerations would still be around commercial. And then there was also the discussion about permanent, for permanent because of the onerous burden that would be on the, for temporaries.

So there would not be consideration for temporaries at the outset if ever. So that's

in there. And I'll leave it to you all to read 1 2 the rest of it. And then you can see if there was 3 4 anything else we've mentioned around the whole 5 private club piece under that generic sort of what is it sort of piece. 6 I have a 7 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: practical question about the wording on the 8 9 bottom of page 14. So as a precedent, private 10 clubs licensed by ABRA must be licensed in a 11 commercially zoned location. 12 Do we mean commercially zoned, or do 13 we mean everywhere but residential, and is there 14 a difference between commercial, industrial, 15 I just want to make sure we're not --16 MR. MOOSALLY: Yes, so it's everywhere 17 but residential. 18 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Okay. Which is 19 different than saying commercial. 20 MR. MOOSALLY: Well, we treat all that 21 as commercial. 22 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Okay.

1	MR. MOOSALLY: If it's not
2	residential, we treat it commercial. We treat
3	manufacturing as commercial.
4	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Okay, so then,
5	there's no distinction.
6	MR. MOOSALLY: Yes, it's fine the way
7	it is.
8	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Then fine,
9	okay, just wanted to check.
10	MR. MOOSALLY: I do have one issue.
11	On page 13, ABRA liquor licensing requirements.
12	DR. WATSON: It's not coming up page
13	
14	CHAIR NESBITT: Under ABRA liquor
15	licensing department.
16	MR. MOOSALLY: Yes, yes, right there.
17	So, and I know I think I sent this by email, but
18	the first sentence should be deleted. It
19	conflicts with the third sentence. And
20	basically, they're all non-profits at this point.
21	CHAIR NESBITT: Oh yes, and he sent
22	that deletion.

MR. MOOSALLY: Yes, just take the 1 2 first sentence out. CHAIR NESBITT: 3 Yes. 4 MR. MOOSALLY: It should just start 5 with ABRA currently licenses 24 non-profits, just start with that. Just take the first sentence 6 Because the third sentence contradicts it, 7 out. 8 where it says, doesn't, you know, non-profit. 9 So, thank you. 10 MS. ZANIEL: On page 15, under the recommendation under B, indicates that private 11 12 clubs may sell drug paraphernalia legally. 13 CHAIR NESBITT: Yes. 14 MS. ZANIEL: I'm not sure that's 15 And I think the federal government 16 could certainly have an issue with that. 17 DR. WATSON: Where is that, Maureen? 18 MS. ZANIEL: Am I right? 19 CHAIR NESBITT: So because we had --20 so drug paraphernalia can legally be sold in the 21 District. And because the recommendation was 22 that there be a new business license category

created by DCRA that would require them to disclose the nature of their business, they would be permitted to sell that drug paraphernalia as a revenue-generating activity.

What we didn't reconcile was if in that new creation of the BBL, DCRA could possibly in that new category require them to adopt all of the non-profit criteria that would be necessary on the federal level without requiring them to obtain the federal 501(c)(3). So that would be the thing that would not create the federal rub.

MS. ZANIEL: I remember that discussion, and I guess my point is, and I don't know the answer actually, I think it's still illegal under federal law to sell drug paraphernalia.

CHAIR NESBITT: But they wouldn't have a federal 501(c)(3). So it wouldn't become an issue. They still have to pay taxes on the income, and there are plenty of places here who are selling drug paraphernalia right now.

MS. O'MEARA: So maybe if we just

1	insert Under District law?
2	MS. ZANIEL: I think that's right.
3	CHAIR NESBITT: Okay. So where?
4	MS. O'MEARA: However, under District
5	law, private clubs may sell drug paraphernalia.
6	CHAIR NESBITT: Okay, okay. Got it.
7	Now we put in here additional consideration
8	should be taken to determine whether trading and
9	bartering marijuana in any form is compliant with
10	the mission of 71.
11	Now, we have the conversation all the
12	time about
13	MS. O'MEARA: What it means to be
14	without remuneration.
15	CHAIR NESBITT: Exactly. And so in
16	the private club setting, would that provide a
17	different context? So that's some OAG work to be
18	done on that piece of it.
19	Now, we know that the lemonade for \$50
20	and you get a pouch of marijuana is absolutely
21	illegal under Initiative 71, despite their
22	attorney telling them that it is not. It is

illegal, right.

So, however, if you are both members of the club and you barter your strain of this versus their strain of that, what does that do?

Like, we have to have some clarity around the trading and bartering in the private club context that I don't think we've had to have any clarity around before.

But to be clear, the \$50 lemonade with a pouch of marijuana on the side, eighth ounce of marijuana on the side, is absolutely illegal under Initiative 71.

MR. GIL: But even with Initiative 71, you can still trade up to an ounce for free.

MS. ZANIEL: You can give.

MR. GIL: You can give. Yes. So I could give you an ounce, you could give me an ounce.

CHAIR NESBITT: Right.

MR. GIL: Everything's fine. It's where you do wind up with that plus something plus money equals --

MS. ZANIEL: Illegal. Looks like a 1 2 sale. MR. GIL: Right. But just to be 3 4 clear, like at a private club, you could have 5 members of a club trading, exchanging for free with one another, up to an ounce, and everything 6 is, that's totally compliant with current law. 7 8 MS. ZANIEL: Right. 9 DR. WATSON: So we're finally back? 10 So shall I take back a MS. ZANIEL: 11 request to look at this trading and bartering 12 issue? Or do we want to punt it? 13 CHAIR NESBITT: I think we, I think it 14 may be satisfactory as written in the 15 recommendation that there should be consideration 16 given to how we provide guidance to future club 17 owners about how to address this in their club. 18 How to -- you know, because I would 19 imagine that there's going to have to be clear 20 membership rules and guidelines that have to be 21 developed for club owners, etc.

And all this stuff would need to be

into any trouble and there's no gray area. But I  don't know that this work needs to be done right  now, because there's no clubs opening tomorrow.  (Laughter.)  MR. MOOSALLY: Not legally.	
now, because there's no clubs opening tomorrow.  [Laughter.]	
5 (Laughter.)	
6 MR. MOOSALLY: Not legally.	
7 CHAIR NESBITT: That thing on such and	I
8 such a street that shall remain nameless is not	
9 opening. Okay. Or having anything Labor Day	
10 weekend.	
All right, so, yes, so you can delete	
12 that.	
DR. WATSON: That.	
CHAIR NESBITT: All right, C. So	
we're ready for C. Okay.	
MS. ZANIEL: So grammatical things	
17 I'll do offline, right?	
CHAIR NESBITT: Yes.	
MS. ZANIEL: Okay.	
CHAIR NESBITT: Unless we finish	
20 CHAIR NESBITT: Unless we finish 21 early, which I'm not seeing that in our future.	

1 minutes. 2 CHAIR NESBITT: Yes. Well, we have 3 32. Goes to 11:30. Don't frighten me like that, 4 Kelly. 5 MS. O'MEARA: Sorry, I didn't notice that on my calendar. 6 7 CHAIR NESBITT: We have 32. All right, any things here? 8 9 All right, D. This is basically the 10 ownership and employment, mirrored after the 11 Medical Marijuana Program's cultivation and 12 dispensary ownership requirements. Great. All 13 right. 14 E, membership definitions. So this is 15 where we decided there should be some flexibility 16 for fees. We did not support one-day 17 memberships. Give the flexibility for the, you 18 know, annual basis, etc. And also would allow 19 them to have some definitions even more flexible 20 for that in terms of monthly, quarterly,

They could host events, but have to

annually.

21

provide those guests in advance. People could 1 2 have memberships in more than one facility, which 3 is different. And while we allow for 4 dispensaries, you have to be locked into one 5 dispensary. You can't have alcohol -- you could 6 have an alcohol license, but you could not be 7 open for alcohol and marijuana at the same time. 8 9 I don't know how that would ever work, how we 10 would enforce that. 11 MR. MOOSALLY: Well, I was getting 12 ready to ask about that. I thought, I can 13 probably live with it. But I thought we had to 14 be one or the other. 15 CHAIR NESBITT: Okay, then just delete 16 Because I struggled with the enforcement 17 of that. 18 MR. MOOSALLY: No, that's my concern. 19 I was like, whoo. 20 CHAIR NESBITT: Clubs could be both 21 marijuana and alcohol clubs, but not at the same 22 time.

1	MR. MOOSALLY: Thank you.
2	CHAIR NESBITT: Take that out. All
3	right.
4	MR. MOOSALLY: Thank you. I was
5	worried, how are enforcing this?
6	CHAIR NESBITT: All right.
7	MR. MOOSALLY: Because I didn't want
8	to have to see somebody with a drink and
9	marijuana.
10	CHAIR NESBITT: No. All right. Okay.
11	Any other things on the membership piece?
12	MS. O'MEARA: And I don't know whether
13	you all discussed and perhaps this is getting
14	into the weeds, but the idea of a sign-in sheet?
15	(Laughter.)
16	MS. O'MEARA: It was not intended.
17	DR. WATSON: Under the membership, how
18	is membership defined?
19	MS. O'MEARA: Yes, under the well,
20	did we discuss perhaps an electronic?
21	CHAIR NESBITT: Yes. So it wasn't so
22	much like a, I think when this discussion came

up, it wasn't so much like, you know, how we sign 1 2 in here, but like some way of carding people in 3 and out. 4 Sort of like when you go to the gym, 5 they swipe your pass or what have you. So you have some record of who was on the premises for 6 that day, yes. 7 8 MS. O'MEARA: Very good. 9 DR. WATSON: Is it? 10 MS. O'MEARA: Well, for enforcement purposes, just a signature would be difficult for 11 an officer to read, saying, is this signature 12 13 belong to an actual member? And so. 14 MS. ZANIEL: All right. So you want 15 to know that it is only members who are enjoying 16 the club. 17 CHAIR NESBITT: Correct, members and 18 their guests, who are entering the club. 19 MR. MOOSALLY: We don't want to have 20 an all-guest event. 21 MS. ZANIEL: Yes, I understand. 22 MR. MOOSALLY: Where it's everybody's

first time to the club, they just heard about the 1 2 club within an hour ago, you know. MS. ZANIEL: Got an alert on their --3 CHAIR NESBITT: Yes, there's an app 4 5 for that. COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: But we did 6 7 discuss the idea that you could host an event as a member. 8 9 MR. MOOSALLY: Absolutely. 10 CHAIR NESBITT: You can host an event 11 as a member. But they should have who their 12 guests are. And the next one which is -- so the 13 membership defined is activities permitted in a 14 private club, Councilmember, we talk about the 15 members should be permitted to host events for their guests with advance notice. 16 17 However, these events should not be 18 held at a cost for attendance cover charge to 19 resemble night clubs or for-profit activities for 20 the non-establishment host in any way. 21 So a party promoter cannot throw

events there, but --

1	MR. MOOSALLY: Right.
2	DR. WATSON: So was everything okay in
3	the previous recommendation? The membership
4	Kelly, was there anything you wanted added in
5	that membership section?
6	MS. O'MEARA: No, I mean, if we're
7	fine with that being conveyed down the road.
8	CHAIR NESBITT: Yes.
9	MS. O'MEARA: Or maybe we should say,
10	A club should
11	CHAIR NESBITT: Should maintain
12	MS. O'MEARA: Lists.
13	CHAIR NESBITT: A registry of daily
14	attendance or something instead of a sign-in
15	sheet. No, the club should maintain a registry
16	of daily attendance instead of a sign-in sheet.
17	DR. WATSON: Okay.
18	CHAIR NESBITT: So, attendance, not
19	attendants. Attendance. C-E, not attendants.
20	DR. WATSON: Oh.
21	CHAIR NESBITT: Because we do have
22	something up there about attendants.

1 DR. WATSON: Yes, we do. 2 CHAIR NESBITT: Or you could say however that individuals may join more than one 3 The club could maintain a sign-in sheet. 4 5 Yes. All right, thank you. 6 MS. O'MEARA: DR. WATSON: Don't look so excited, 7 Fred. 8 9 MR. MOOSALLY: I am excited. 10 CHAIR NESBITT: This is progress. 11 MR. MOOSALLY: Making progress. 12 CHAIR NESBITT: All right, so F. Are 13 there things for this one? I want to make sure 14 that there are -- we talked about stuff that 15 should be able to happen here. I want to make 16 sure we capture all of those things. They should 17 not be able to have alcohol, should be able to 18 sell and serve food. 19 And we shouldn't leave ABRA out there 20 like they're the only people who said they sell and serve food. The dashboard said that. 21 22 I mean, I do think it adds value that

1	ABRA, you know, supports the alcohol piece.
2	MR. GIL: Does the serving food, does
3	that include marijuana-infused?
4	MR. MOOSALLY: No.
5	CHAIR NESBITT: No.
6	MR. GIL: Just okay.
7	CHAIR NESBITT: To sell and serve
8	unadulterated food. To sell and serve
9	unadulterated food products. We might need to
10	say non-marijuana infused, because we do have
11	quite a few discussions and debates about whether
12	or not marijuana-infused products are
13	adulterated.
14	DR. WATSON: I need to put something
15	what did you say? I'm sorry.
16	CHAIR NESBITT: I said we may need to
17	define, or say non-marijuana infused food
18	products.
19	DR. WATSON: You want me to write that
20	in?
20	in? CHAIR NESBITT: Yes, yes. So where

1	products. Because their ability to serve them is
2	
3	MS. O'MEARA: So if I can suggest that
4	the third sentence in that paragraph really only
5	applies to the first sentence.
6	DR. WATSON: All right, so
7	MS. O'MEARA: So say, DOH supports the
8	recommendation for public health and safety
9	reasons, given that marijuana users report higher
LO	rates of binge drinking. That's in relation to
L1	the first sentence.
L2	CHAIR NESBITT: Right.
L3	MS. O'MEARA: So if we move the second
L4	down below, that would probably be better.
L5	CHAIR NESBITT: You have to make
L6	people understand why, Chris.
L7	MR. MOOSALLY: Yes, I agree.
L8	MS. O'MEARA: Fred's just a killjoy.
L9	DR. WATSON: Down here?
20	MS. O'MEARA: Yes.
21	MR. MOOSALLY: I appreciate that, that
22	definitely helps.

1	MR. GIL: So just to be clear, the
2	clubs themselves could not sell marijuana-infused
3	food products. But club members could bring
4	their own marijuana-infused food products and
5	consume it onsite themselves, or freely transfer
6	it to others.
7	MS. ZANIEL: Because you can't
8	quantify the amount of the edible, right?
9	MR. GIL: Oh, yes, that's an issue.
10	Yes.
11	CHAIR NESBITT: Right. But I want to
12	make sure it's abundantly clear that the food
13	you're going to sell there
14	MR. GIL: Right, the club itself,
15	right.
16	CHAIR NESBITT: Right.
17	MR. GIL: So you can bring your own.
18	CHAIR NESBITT: So sell non-marijuana
19	infused food products.
20	MS. O'MEARA: Or drinks.
21	CHAIR NESBITT: Yes.
22	MS. O'MEARA: Oh, we're applying it to

drinks as well? 1 2 CHAIR NESBITT: Yes. To sell food and beverage, to sell non-marijuana infused food and 3 4 beverage. Let's just say that. 5 So the task force recommended that marijuana private clubs be permitted to sell and 6 7 serve non-marijuana infused food and beverage. And I think that will --8 9 MS. O'MEARA: Yes, so the question --10 I think you should CHAIR NESBITT: 11 start using that term, instead of unadulterated 12 food products, because people really challenge 13 that, whether or not marijuana-infused products meet the FDA's standard for adulteration. 14 Ιt 15 does, but, I mean. 16 MS. O'MEARA: So to get at Helder's 17 question, I think that we're still leaving that 18 And so we need to acknowledge that further 19 discussion is needed. 20 MR. GIL: Yes. 21 MS. O'MEARA: Or resolve it. 22 CHAIR NESBITT: Yes, but I think

further discussion is needed, because you can't 1 2 quantify whether or not the two-ounce possession 3 4 MR. GIL: Or the one-ounce transfer. 5 CHAIR NESBITT: Or the one-ounce transfer is met with the sharing of a pan of 6 7 brownies. MS. O'MEARA: We have that challenge 8 9 with any of them. 10 So, for people who are CHAIR NESBITT: 11 not on the task force who think that this is 12 ridiculous, it is different for the government to 13 officially sanction an activity for which the law 14 forbids. 15 So if the law forbids the transfer of 16 one ounce, and you are saying it is permissible 17 to do something and you cannot officially say 18 that the one ounce rule is met, then you have to 19 say that it meets the one ounce rule. 20 So if you are dumping four ounces of

dry leaves into a pan of brownies and you cannot

ensure that the serving size that everyone is

21

going to take when you share is less than one 1 2 ounce, then it would technically not be legal to transfer. 3 4 So whether it seems absurd or not, it 5 is the government's responsibility to enforce the law and only give people guidance in accordance 6 with the law. 7 MR. GIL: So for that section, do we 8 9 note this as an issue, where we haven't --10 CHAIR NESBITT: I think we do. 11 MR. MOOSALLY: I think it's probably -- because I mean the establishments have to know 12 13 that they're in compliance. 14 CHAIR NESBITT: Exactly. 15 How do they know that MR. MOOSALLY: 16 they're in compliance? 17 CHAIR NESBITT: Exactly. 18 MR. GIL: Yes, there's no way to test 19 that the cookies that somebody's bringing and 20 sharing with one another, there's --21 MS. O'MEARA: Yes, so I think what 22 we're going to do is acknowledge here there is an

unanswered question about
CHAIR NESBITT: Correct.
MS. O'MEARA: Club members bringing
their own marijuana-infused food or beverage
products.
CHAIR NESBITT: For which the one
ounce transfer rule.
DR. WATSON: I'm sorry, marijuana.
MS. O'MEARA: Their own, yes,
marijuana-infused food or beverage.
MR. MOOSALLY: Because right now, what
product's being made in a cultivation center in
DC? We just have a couple products, right?
CHAIR NESBITT: Correct. Just juice
and butter.
MS. O'MEARA: Yes, so then are we
saying
CHAIR NESBITT: Where the go ahead.
MS. O'MEARA: The only, and god knows,
I don't know all the ways to consume marijuana,
but essentially, smoking it would be sanctioned.
How else could it be? Smoking, inhale, vapor,

1	vape. I mean, just to clarify, what else would
2	be allowed?
3	CHAIR NESBITT: Smoking, vaping,
4	drinking.
5	MR. MOOSALLY: Drinking, and using
6	drinking, yes. Smoking, vaping, drinking, and
7	then food products.
8	CHAIR NESBITT: Ingesting through food
9	products.
10	MS. O'MEARA: But we're saying we're
11	
12	MS. ZANIEL: How are we having the
13	drinks?
14	MS. BELL: That you drop into your
15	mouth, and there are creams that you can rub onto
16	your skin.
17	CHAIR NESBITT: Right, but I don't
18	know why you would go to a private club to rub a
19	cream on you, in a club.
20	MS. O'MEARA: You could be smoking or
21	concentrates.
22	CHAIR NESBITT: You know, so.

1	PARTICIPANT: Because we're saying you
2	may like the smell.
3	CHAIR NESBITT: There are lots of
4	products I can talk to you about offline.
5	MS. O'MEARA: Okay. All right.
6	CHAIR NESBITT: That we would not want
7	you to be using in these clubs, because you would
8	be otherwise being intimate, and it would be
9	inappropriate to use. So. There's lots of ways
10	that people are now using marijuana.
11	MS. O'MEARA: All right. Thus
12	concludes my education.
13	MR. MOOSALLY: Real quick question.
14	I don't know if it really goes before, but I'm
15	assuming, based on us talking about the fee
16	structure, that we've concluded that they can
17	charge it's not specifically stated that the
18	establishments can charge membership fees.
19	CHAIR NESBITT: Yes, yes.
20	MR. MOOSALLY: We kind of have a sense
21	about the structure.
22	CHAIR NESBITT: We have that in

1	memberships, Fred, where the task force members
2	did not support the indiscriminate use of one-day
3	memberships to the club. There was also
4	consistence that the private club's owner should
5	be given the flexibility to determine the fee
6	structures.
7	MR. MOOSALLY: Right, yes, that's what
8	I kind of took it we were saying.
9	CHAIR NESBITT: And I think we should
10	amend this for the clubs on an annual basis. So
11	we should say on a basis that could be monthly,
12	quarterly. On a basis that should be at a
13	minimum monthly, is what we determined.
14	MR. MOOSALLY: Right, we said it
15	couldn't be a day.
16	CHAIR NESBITT: It couldn't be daily
17	or weekly. But it could be at a minimum monthly.
18	MR. MOOSALLY: That's what I
19	(Simultaneous speaking.)
20	CHAIR NESBITT: And then down in the
21	details of the recommendation, we put e.g.,
22	monthly quarterly annually

1	MR. MOOSALLY: Thank you.
2	DR. WATSON: Where are you?
3	CHAIR NESBITT: Go back up to
4	memberships. Because we have, in the intro we
5	have how is membership defined go down.
6	MS. O'MEARA: So it's under E.
7	DR. WATSON: Oh, thank you.
8	CHAIR NESBITT: So where we say the
9	task so in the introduction section, before
10	the recommendation, where it says The fee
11	structure for these clubs on an annual basis, it
12	should read To determine the fee structures for
13	their clubs on a basis to be at a minimum
14	monthly.
15	DR. WATSON: Should be given the up
16	here, put it in?
17	CHAIR NESBITT: Should be given the
18	flexibility to determine the fee structures for
19	their clubs on a basis to be at a minimum
20	monthly, or something like that. Like, you've
21	got to have a membership that's at least monthly.
22	MR. MOOSALLY: Can just say on a

monthly, quarterly, or annual basis. Either way, 1 2 it's fine. Thank you. CHAIR NESBITT: We'll work out the 3 4 grammar later, but I just want to make sure that 5 it doesn't just say annual, because we're not restricting annually. 6 7 MR. MOOSALLY: Yes, that addressed my 8 concern, I appreciate that. 9 CHAIR NESBITT: Okay. All right, were 10 there any other private, I mean any other So the storage, we mentioned they 11 activities? 12 could have storage because of the security plans. 13 And the event. 14 Would this be where we should put the 15 -- no, the security we still need to create 16 another section H that says other considerations. 17 Activities that shall be permitted, I think we 18 can add the hours of operation piece here. 19 MR. MOOSALLY: Right. 20 CHAIR NESBITT: So we'll add the hours 21 of operation here. We should, and Jackie, we can

do this offline, we want to go back here.

1	back in, I think in A, is where we should add the
2	part about the, yes, in the
3	DR. WATSON: Okay, private clubs.
4	CHAIR NESBITT: You know what I'm
5	saying, the locations and limits on locations and
6	distance and stuff, that should go in A.
7	MR. MOOSALLY: Under activities, you
8	may also want to slip the occupancy thing.
9	CHAIR NESBITT: And the occupancy
10	limits should go here too, okay. And then the
11	other considerations section will have the piece
12	about the officers' detail. And we'll get that
13	language from Kelly. All right.
14	MS. ZANIEL: I assume the Office of
15	the Attorney General should go under G with the
16	other. If there are violations, I'm assuming we
17	would be in these cases.
18	CHAIR NESBITT: Oh, yes.
19	MR. MOOSALLY: Good catch.
20	DR. WATSON: We would, right?
21	MR. MOOSALLY: Yes, you've got to
22	bring the cases.

1	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Would we
2	normally list the ANC in terms of the licensure,
3	or is that redundant to
4	CHAIR NESBITT: I think they fall into
5	a part of the, they are part of the, I don't
6	think they have a direct role in licensure and
7	enforcement. I think they are part of the
8	approval process through the enforcement on
9	agencies, yes.
10	MR. MOOSALLY: Right, they'd part of
11	our, right, absolutely.
12	CHAIR NESBITT: So they touch on all
13	three, at least, well all four of us in our
14	processing.
15	MS. ZANIEL: So are you putting them
16	under A or H? I lost track. The ANC part.
17	CHAIR NESBITT: The part where we were
18	talking about the locations and the restriction
19	on locations, etc., that should go under A.
20	Ms. ZANIEL: Okay.
21	CHAIR NESBITT: As we were having the
22	licensing and zoning conversations. We're just

going to add an expansion to that section to talk about the distances and all of those, and stuff.

And then the hours of operation piece in the occupancy limits will go under F. And then we'll add another section H, for other considerations that will have the officer restrictions, and we'll get that from Kelly.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: And when you do

A, you will add in that piece that Director

Moosally described about the ANC's role.

CHAIR NESBITT: Yes. Well, so, I

don't -- it will depend on the wording that we

get from him. Because we haven't gone into as

much detail about explaining the regulatory

processes of how, like when we talk about the BBL

process and all of that other stuff. So we'll

just get the language from you about how --

MR. MOOSALLY: Yes, I'll get to that.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Yes, but I just want to emphasize the importance of mentioning the ANC in this document. So that when they see it --

I'll send something. 1 MR. MOOSALLY: 2 COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: That's for A, though. 3 4 MR. MOOSALLY: Right, yes, I'll add 5 it. COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIR NESBITT: All right, so eight is a summary of, this is basically the memo that we 8 9 reviewed before about the private club stuff that 10 was happening in other jurisdictions. Nine is, 11 there's an intro part that I've included here, as 12 to why we ended up talking about taxation and 13 regulation of non-medical marijuana sales. 14 And then we have excerpts from all of 15 the other agencies, or different agencies that we 16 added here. We've got some formatting things we 17 need to do to this section. Need to add 18 something to this one. 19 And both Kelly and I have not yet sent 20 in our sections, sorry. But mine will come 21 today. 22 Do you want, Councilmember Nadeau,

1	where the last sentence on your part says The DC
2	Council will continue to have these public
3	discussion, do you want to change that to round
4	tables?
5	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Sure.
6	CHAIR NESBITT: Or, I mean, because I
7	think when it was in public discussions, it was
8	because it part of the whole
9	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: We had a town
10	hall.
11	CHAIR NESBITT: Where you had the town
12	hall and everything. But this is about the bill,
13	the legislation. Or do
14	MR. GIL: But having it as public
15	discussions would still help
16	CHAIR NESBITT: Capture everything.
17	MR. GIL: Hearings, round tables,
18	meetings.
19	CHAIR NESBITT: Leave it that way?
20	DR. WATSON: Take that out?
21	CHAIR NESBITT: Take that sentence out?
22	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: No, leave it,

it's fine.

CHAIR NESBITT: I would take that last sentence out.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Yes, I'm -- it's just implied. That's your job, yes.

CHAIR NESBITT: We got through it.

All right, now we have to decide, do we want the private clubs or not. Now that we have developed the infrastructure for them.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Well, I would strongly urge us to support the development of private clubs for all of the reasons that we've really discussed. Another being of course wanting to create a safe for, and responsible space for consumption.

For those who either live in housing that doesn't permit it or don't want to do it front of their children, many, many reasons. And my hope is that someday soon we will have the legal ability to actually do something like this.

CHAIR NESBITT: Okay. Other votes?

I'm going to obviously offer an opposite opinion,

just to break the ice. So I do not support the establishment of marijuana private clubs from a public health perspective.

I do appreciate the perspectives that people have expressed around wanting to have a place for public consumption. However, this is one of the times that I do not wish to have the District being a leader in experiencing what could happen with public consumption.

And while people don't believe that this is public consumption because it would happen indoors, people have to leave these facilities and then find their way home. And while it may be likened to alcohol in that we should treat it and regulate it like alcohol, we still find ourselves with significant public health and public safety challenges with alcohol.

And so I have quite a significant number of reservations around creating this infrastructure with unknowns, where I am unable to learn from best practices from other jurisdictions.

1	I've also had the opportunity to talk
2	with people in other jurisdictions who have these
3	establishments illegally operating. And some of
4	them have allowed them to illegally operate or
5	had a difficult time reining them in from
6	illegally operating and had untoward consequences
7	from them illegally operating, and are not
8	interested in sort of officially sanctioning
9	them.
LO	So I am not in a position right now to
L1	support them, from the seat that I sit in, in the
L2	District. So I just offer that counter position.
L3	COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Neither of us
L <b>4</b>	being surprised at the other's position.
L5	CHAIR NESBITT: Yes, right.
L6	MS. O'MEARA: For MPD, I would also
L7	not recommend moving forward at this time.
L8	Whereas your focus is on the unknowns in the
L9	public health sector, you also touched on sort of
20	some critical public safety issues.
21	With all due respect to the excellent
22	job that ABRA does regulating the alcohol

establishments, we still see ourselves dealing with significant public safety impacts from those establishments. And so it seems premature and without the information, as you noted, for us to lead the way on this, without acknowledging that it is likely to have public safety impacts, so.

MR. MOOSALLY: I guess I'm speaking next. So what I probably would say is probably more in the middle ground, is that, you know, probably they should only be issued in conjunction with a tax and sales regulatory system. So for me, we're talking about regulating alcohol and, you know, and treating marijuana like alcohol. But really, we're not.

I mean, with alcohol, we have a system in place, right. We have a regulatory tax and sales system. Here you're talking about, when you go to a bar, you know, you're buying alcohol, you know what it is, you know what's in it, what the product is, where it comes from.

Here you're talking about, and I think you raised this point, Helder, here you're

talking about products being brought to a private club for consumption, food product, what's in it? Where does it come from, right? We can talk about, you know, arguably, they could buy it from the cultivation center, but that's not legal. So they can't sell it to the private club, right.

So you have an issue what's in these products, what's in the marijuana. And then you're having the establishment that's arguably going to be overseeing serving and selling these products.

So, I mean, you know, I think I've heard, you know, treat marijuana like alcohol. Okay, but we have a tax and sales regulatory system for that. So that's the only concern I have, is having a system or systems in place, which I'm not sure this is. That's one of my concerns.

CHAIR NESBITT: And you know, there's some of the places, to Fred's point, which is one I could, my position could move potentially, is that there are some places where they're looking

to have more forward progress is that, even their medical marijuana programs, when established for 15, 20 years, their products weren't highly regulated.

They had significant concerns with the pesticides that were used there. Marijuana-infused products didn't have regulations on how much THC or cannabis could be in the products, and so you had no idea at any given time what people were actually consuming.

And so all of that gray area just presented significant concerns for them. And they felt they've been able to get their arms around it a little bit better now, to be able to say don't consume more than this much in a serving and we regulated our infused products now, to know what, or to think we know, what's in a serving. Because we're still working out some kinks with laboratory testing.

But again, as those things move forward, and as we have a lot more clarity around what's in our alcohol because that system has

been in place for a long time, as we make progress around what's in our commercially available marijuana products, I think we will have a little bit more assurances around it. But right now, it's just not.

And to bring people into our community who are going to be experimenting and trying to be guests in these establishments and all of that, there's just, the amounts of risk that would be inherent there is, I'm not comfortable with it.

MR. GIL: I think I'm in the same position that Fred is of, I think we've created a pretty good framework on how regulations would work. But we don't really have legalization of marijuana in the District. It's sort of legalization with an asterisk.

Because you can possess it, you can grow it yourself, you can't sell it, you can't actually engage in any commercial transactions.

So it's a difficult thing to regulate where, like alcohol is a fully legalized product. With

marijuana, it's not.

So we've got a set of regulations that I think are really solid, but the hang-up is how do you do this with a product that is not commercially legal to transact?

So I think until we solve that issue, of the commercialization product qualities, you know, treating it like we do alcohol, like we do cigarettes, where we know the ingredients that are in it, you know, everything about it, creating a club framework is incredibly challenging.

Individuals can still go to a private residence to consume and trade, and it seems like we get reports of that occurring, where it gets, not the sort of under the radar ones, but the ones where it becomes a semi-commercial venture with tickets being sold and stuff that appear on the radar.

So there are some options for some individuals to consume outside of their home in another place. But to create sort of an ABC-type

framework is a challenge when the underlying product is not a commercially legal product.

CHAIR NESBITT: Did you have anything,

MS. ZANIEL: Well, it's down to me, I guess. I think the Attorney General's position is outlined in the report already under 9(d), supporting a comprehensive system for licensing and regulated and cultivation, manufacture and the legal retail sale of marijuana, with restrictions prohibiting the sale and marketing to minors.

But it contemplates what everybody else has said, that there really be a regulatory framework, including taxation and some ability to know what it is that's being regulated.

CHAIR NESBITT: So, you know, as we come upon our time and are on our time, I think, you know, my goal here, with us over the past several months, was for us to be able to have, we have a recommendation as a task force that concluded with the majority of people saying not

Maureen?

now.

But at least we've had a thoughtful, deliberative process that says if it were, this is what the business license process should look like from DCRA, this is what it should be in commercial areas. The membership should look like this, guests should be allowed, these are the types of activities that should occur.

And I do feel that despite what
everyone's sort of conclusions phase was like,
the task force members really addressed it with
the spirit of let's be thoughtful about what this
infrastructure should look like in the District.

If there are ongoing questions, there are some things that we still need to add in terms of content to the report, that we could try to conclude those things. I think some of us should be able to get them done by today or by Monday.

We're going to circulate another draft and then be able to have our final submission, because our task and our responsibility is to

submit a framework. I mean, we were even called together to do this after there's been a ban on them.

But I definitely appreciate everyone's spirit of saying, we're going to approach this with being able to say, what would this framework look like, being able to look at what has happened in other jurisdictions where they are in line being able to make progress on this front.

But what I also hear people saying is that if the District had a tax and regulation infrastructure for this, it could definitely change the perspective of the minds and thoughts around private clubs and the atmosphere for private clubs in the District.

So one of our big challenges before us, for all of us who are constantly engaged in conversations about marijuana policy is really not appropriate to be thoroughly discussed in this forum. But it's kind of why we had an additional one month meeting is, what do we do and how do we address this whole gap that exists

on the lack of a taxation and regulation regime in the District that gives, that answers a lot of questions on the law enforcement side, that doesn't inappropriately tax the medical marijuana.

And I shouldn't say taxes as in a tax and regulation scheme, but overburden the Medical Marijuana Program for people whose intent is to have recreational use. All of those things that are happening in the District misconstrue the intent or the purpose of Initiative 71.

All of those things we all recognize that there is a lot of work to be done in terms of making progress for taxation and regulation, which seems to be a goal for many in the District.

If progress is made in that area, I think we've had a very important conversation in this forum around private clubs that could answer a lot of questions around that and give those who need to make decisions in that area a good tool to create an infrastructure for that space, so.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Can I just --

CHAIR NESBITT: Yes, go right ahead.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Thank you,

Director. So I just, I want to perhaps add an additional framework to the discussion we just had. We don't have the option of saying let's go on this, right? Because Council passed a law banning it, and the rider prohibits us from rolling back the ban, period.

So the likely next steps here are actually a Congress that rolls back the rider, that allows us to do everything. In the absence of that, we are stuck no matter what. So I think that the idea of saying well, not now, is really sort of a false choice because we don't have the option to say not now.

But I do think it might be productive and helpful to say something like, when there is a regulatory framework, we all agree that this should be a part of it. Or when the rider is lifted, there isn't consensus on whether or not clubs should stand alone from a regulatory

framework. Or maybe, you know, there's only one vote against them. You know, whatever it is.

But I do think that it needs to be framed in a way that acknowledges that we don't have the ability to do anything right now, but when the ban is lifted, that's when this all would happen.

The other thing is just, I don't want to allow us to lean in too much on saying we'd be first when we know from the lit review that there are states that are doing it, and by the time we have the opportunity to do it we certainly wouldn't be first or even among the first.

So I think we need to sort of tuck that away in our brains too, and not allow that to be sort of the guiding concern.

CHAIR NESBITT: Yes, well, the lit review doesn't imply, implies that we could still be first. So the lit review implies that there are some who are under consideration. None of them have opened their doors. So let's make sure we put that in context.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Okay.

CHAIR NESBITT: I also want to make sure that I'm being clear. A tax and -- let's say the rider was lifted for FY '17, or that there was the ability to apply local budget autonomy and implement a tax and regulation regime in FY '17.

I still would not change my position to say private clubs should be implemented then, like we are opening doors of these facilities in, you know, January 2018, because we built the infrastructure during the course of the next nine months for applications to start rolling in.

My position wouldn't change immediately because there are still, in my opinion, some things to be learned.

I don't know that the position of others who said, you know, the lack of a tax and regulation regime and the fact that we had better regulated products etc., etc., they may be in a position to say let's revisit in 18-24 months.

What I believe is that we have

approached this process with a lack of -- I'm sorry not with a lack of but with open eyes, being extremely objective and saying what would the business licensing process look like, what would all of those things look like, were when people believe that whoever those decisionmakers may be at that time, that it is appropriate to consider private clubs.

Meaning that we have been green lit, meaning rider lifted, tax and regulation scheme in place because we have local budget autonomy to do so, whatever those enabling factors are, there is a document that says this is what it needs to look like.

My position, however, my vote for today doesn't change. So I don't know if my not now language is not appropriate for you, but for me, it is a not now, because I'm not comfortable with the products that are out there, the public safety risk that exists, etc.

But I believe there is a spectrum of opinions here, where some of us, our positions

may never change, some peoples' positions may change if there were a tax and regulation structure, and some people would be willing to go to that.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Okay, that's helpful, because I didn't understand that from your statement. So I think what you're saying is, not at the outset.

CHAIR NESBITT: What I'm saying is, today, I'm voting no. Five years from now, I might still be voting no.

I was also saying that many of us support a tax and regulation regime for recreational marijuana, which does not exist today but there could be enabling factors. Could be enabling factors tomorrow, could be enabling factors five years from now.

But what I believe we have is a report, regardless of our vote right now, completely outlines what that infrastructure should be like, instead of us having five months ago come in here and all taken a vote then and

said, I'm not doing any work because I'm going to vote no. That was what my summary intended to convey.

COUNCILMEMBER NADEAU: Okay.

CHAIR NESBITT: That you have a thoughtful document. You know, if and when I'm no longer the director of the Department of Health and that person makes a different decision, I don't think the work has to be done all over again.

MR. MOOSALLY: To piggyback on that,

I mean, I think, like you said, I think we've

spent a number of months on this, I think we have

a good document, good recommendations.

I think we have something, like you said, whether it's next year, two years, three years, four years, whatever it is, that somebody can go back and look at these. I mean, I do think the documents say hey, look, you know, whether we support it, don't support it, or against it --

CHAIR NESBITT: That's right.

1 MR. MOOSALLY: These are our thoughts 2 and recommendations, you know, regardless of how we feel about it. If the powers that be want to 3 4 go forward, you know, these are our 5 recommendations. CHAIR NESBITT: 6 Yes. 7 MR. MOOSALLY: And these are what we 8 think are the best practices. 9 CHAIR NESBITT: Absolutely. 10 MR. MOOSALLY: I think we got to try 11 to convey that. 12 CHAIR NESBITT: Absolutely. All Yes. 13 right, any other thoughts? All right, thanks, 14 everybody, for your hard work. If you have 15 outstanding items, we'll --16 MR. MOOSALLY: So you're going to 17 address my --18 CHAIR NESBITT: If you have outstanding 19 items that we need from you based on walking 20 through the document, if you could get them to us 21 by close of business today, that would be great. 22 Because then we can turn the document back out to

1 you all.

If not, by Monday, and then we'll work through the document over the -- by Monday we'll work through the document and then turn something back out to you all to review next week and then try to get this thing final for our final submission.

So I do want to thank all of you all.

I know it's extremely hard to commit to a project over the summer. And even though with everybody's ability to still take vacation and all of those things, we've been able to pull this thing off in our probably 150 days instead of 120 days.

So job well done, thanks everyone for your commitment and attention, and response to the many emails that have come your way. So, appreciate you all.

MR. MOOSALLY: Thank you.

MS. O'MEARA: Thanks, Director.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 11:43 a.m.)

#### adulteration 67:14 applies 22:4 65:5 Α advance 58:1 61:16 a.m 1:11 4:2 20:21,21 Advisor 1:14 95:5 20:22 100:21 affords 11:22 ABC 18:16 22:3 23:17 African 45:15 66:22 24:12 agencies 41:15 42:9 **ABC-type** 88:22 77:9 79:15,15 ability 11:4 23:16 65:1 agency 1:1 25:10 81:20 89:15 94:5 95:5 agenda 4:5,9 30:5 approached 96:1 100:11 ago 27:1 61:2 97:22 **able** 43:6 63:15,17,17 agree 19:3 29:21 43:4 96:17 86:13,14 89:20 90:18 65:17 93:19 approval 77:8 90:21 91:6,7,9 100:12 agreement 15:2 23:16 approved 4:19 above-entitled 100:20 agreements 21:11,13 **April** 31:22 **ABRA** 13:9 47:18 49:10 arbitrary 15:21 50:11,14 51:5 63:19 ahead 4:4 20:15 28:21 64:1 83:22 70:18 93:2 86:11 92:17,21 **absence** 93:12 alcohol 7:12 20:1,3,6 areas 90:6 absolute 18:10 58:6,7,8,21 63:17 **arguably** 85:4,9 absolutely 18:4 23:18 64:1 82:14,15,17 **arms** 86:13 44:22 53:20 54:11 83:22 84:13,14,15,18 arrest 33:8 61:9 77:11 99:9,12 85:13 86:22 87:22 arrested 35:16 absurd 69:4 88:88 abundantly 66:12 Alcoholic 1:17 46.6 academic 46:19 alert 61:3 **asked** 7:6 accessible 12:8 all-quest 60:20 aspect 12:2 achieve 41:8 allow 10:5 57:18 58:3 **aspects** 5:7,9 acknowledge 67:18 94:9.15 assembled 43:19 69:22 allowable 44:15 acknowledges 94:4 allowed 6:1 24:11,12,22 31:2 acknowledging 84:5 assume 76:14 71:2 83:4 90:7 action 25:3 allowing 8:18 activities 9:21 14:6 allows 24:15 93:12 assurances 87:4 29:14 41:3,14,18,19 alternate 14:22 asterisk 87:17 42:7 61:13,19 75:11 altogether 27:14 atmosphere 91:14 75:17 76:7 90:8 amend 73:10 attached 30:5 activity 22:13 52:4 Americans 45:15 attempting 41:8 68:13 amount 5:14 15:6 17:7 actual 6:20 60:13 29:2 34:2,7 66:8 62:16,18,19 **Adams** 26:12.18 amounts 87:9 attendant 29:19 add 24:2 33:22 38:14 analysis 46:1 39:6 43:11 47:2 75:18 **ANC** 8:22 22:6,9 23:2,9 attention 100:15 75:20 76:1 78:1,5,9 23:14,16 77:2,16 79:4,17 90:15 93:4 78:21 added 62:4 79:16 **ANC's** 78:10 76:15 89:6 additional 53:7 91:21 **AUGUST 1:8 ANCs** 15:13 93:5 annual 57:18 73:10 authored 19:9 address 7:9 37:6 55:17 74:11 75:1,5 91:22 99:17 annually 57:21 73:22 addressed 7:18,20 8:20 75:6 available 87:3 22:20 75:7 90:11 avoid 25:6 answer 52:14 92:19 adds 63:22 answers 92:2 adequately 8:20 В apologize 24:3 Adjourn 3:22 app 61:4 **B** 35:12 51:11 adjust 35:13 **appear** 88:18 back 8:16 30:11 32:6 Administration 1:17 **appears** 34:16 47:15 39:20 55:9,10 74:3 administrative 41:13 application 18:12 75:22 76:1 93:9,11 adopt 52:7 applications 95:13 98:18 99:22 100:5 adulterated 64:13

**apply** 18:7 21:15,16,19 **applying** 9:19 18:5,10 appreciate 65:21 75:8 82:4 91:4 100:17 approach 8:4,4 91:5 appropriate 91:19 96:7 area 17:7 44:18 56:2 arrests 32:16 45:15 **Assistant** 1:21 2:16 assuming 72:15 76:16 attendance 61:18 62:14 attendants 62:19,19,22 attorney 1:21,22 30:16 31:2 36:21 53:22 authors 14:14 19:11 autonomy 95:6 96:11

background 47:10,17 **bad** 27:5 balance 13:6 19:1,19 **ban** 9:3 91:2 93:9 94:6 banning 43:14 93:8 bar 18:10 84:18 barred 18:4 bars 16:11.11 barter 54:3 bartering 53:9 54:6 55:11 based 4:17 5:1 16:2 17:4 20:12,17 22:8,10 22:17 23:9 37:4 72:15 99:19 **basically** 18:7 21:18 22:12 41:9 48:7 50:20 57:9 79:8 basis 57:18 73:10,11,12 74:11,13,19 75:1 **BBL** 52:6 78:15 **beat** 44:2 **began** 47:8 begins 47:7 behavior 22:13 **believe** 6:3 8:21 13:18 23:2 29:13 82:10 95:22 96:6,21 97:18 **BELL** 71:14 **belong** 60:13 belongs 44:1 **Bessie** 46:2 best 10:9,9 31:7 82:21 99.8 better 27:18 39:8 65:14 86:14 95:19 beverage 1:17 67:3,4,7 70:4,10 beyond 44:8 BEZAWIT 2:14 **big** 91:16 **bill** 35:22 42:11 80:12 binge 65:10 bit 4:4 7:21 22:2 26:19 44:8 86:14 87:4 **blurb** 43:7 **BOECKER** 37:10 **bold** 47:14 both/or 5:4 bottom 48:3 49:9 **Bowser** 31:20 **brains** 94:15 Brandon 1:20 break 13:19 82:1 **Brianne** 1:16,18 **bring** 24:8 66:3,17 76:22 87:6 bringing 69:19 70:3

brought 38:11 85:1 brownies 68:7,21 budget 27:17 95:5 96:11 built 95:11 bullet 28:13 46:18 burden 48:19 BURNETT 2:7 business 10:4 21:20 51:22 52:2 90:4 96:4 99:21 businesses 26:15 44:13 butter 70:15

### С

buy 21:20 85:4

**buying** 21:21 84:18

**C** 29:9 56:14,15 **C-E** 62:19 calendar 57:6 **call** 44:9 called 91:1 cannabis 86:8 cap 15:17,20 16:8 Capitol 1:11 caps 11:15,18 12:4 **capture** 63:16 80:16 captured 4:17 31:16 car 21:21 carding 60:2 care 18:2,9,9 26:4 cares 17:16 18:1 **CARLA** 2:16 **case** 23:13 cases 76:17,22 cash 38:18 39:12 catch 76:19 category 48:7,12 51:22 52:7 center 6:5 11:18 13:15 70:12 85:5 centers 11:7 12:18 13:2 14:1,10 16:4 17:21 certain 17:7,7 20:6 **certainly** 51:16 94:12 Certificate 29:3 30:1 Chair 1:11 4:3 8:14 10:3 10:14 12:3,22 13:4,8 13:13 17:18 18:1,19 19:6,13,22 20:5,9,14 20:19 21:1,7 25:13 28:9,12,15,21 29:12 30:3,15,19 31:4 32:3 32:11,18,22 33:3,12 34:9,20 35:4,8,17 36:11,15 37:15,21 38:21 39:3,7 40:15

41:1,4,7,22 42:22 43:5,9,19 44:10,17 45:1,10,17 46:2,16,22 48:10 50:14,21 51:3 51:13,19 52:17 53:3,6 53:15 54:19 55:13 56:7,14,18,20 57:2,7 58:15,20 59:2,6,10,21 60:17 61:4,10 62:8,11 62:13,18,21 63:2,10 63:12 64:5,7,16,21 65:12,15 66:11,16,18 66:21 67:2,10,22 68:5 68:10 69:10,14,17 70:2,6,14,18 71:3,8 71:17,22 72:3,6,19,22 73:9,16,20 74:3,8,17 75:3,9,20 76:4,9,18 77:4,12,17,21 78:11 79:7 80:6,11,16,19,21 81:2,6,21 83:15 85:19 89:3,17 93:2 94:17 95:2 97:9 98:5,22 99:6,9,12,18 challenge 67:12 68:8 89:1 **challenges** 82:17 91:16 challenging 88:12 **change** 1:19 35:19 80:3 91:13 95:8,14 96:16 97:1.2 changed 37:11 changing 24:6 42:2

**charge** 61:18 72:17,18

chartering 43:13

**check** 15:15 50:9

checkpoint 9:1

**chief** 2:15 27:1

children 81:18

**choice** 93:15

**choose** 32:18

cigarettes 88:9

circulate 90:20

clarified 38:20

71:1

**clause** 33:9

clean 21:2,5

city 20:7,18 24:19

clarity 54:5,7 86:21

**clarify** 26:5 27:21 37:22

clear 14:17 19:17 24:21

35:15 37:1 54:9 55:4

55:19 66:1,12 95:3

clientele 12:20 13:3,8

25:1,14 26:6 34:7

Chris 65:16

**circle** 30:11

**child** 18:9

93:22 95:9 96:8 Columbia 1:1,18 30:17 45:7 combination 26:20,22 come 23:8 79:20 85:3 89:18 97:22 100:16 comes 84:20 comfort 23:11 **comfortable** 14:18 22:3 35:5 87:10 96:18 coming 50:12 comments 22:10 46:17 commercial 8:19 48:17 49:14,19,21 50:2,3 87:20 90:6 commercialization 88:7 commercially 49:11,12 87:2 88:5 89:2 commission 5:10 **commit** 100:9 commitment 100:15 committing 14:3 communicate 46:8 **Communications** 1:20 **community** 9:4 87:6 community's 12:11 compare 47:4 completely 97:20 **compliance** 69:13,16 compliant 53:9 55:7 comprehensive 7:8 89:8 concentrates 71:21 concentration 17:4 23:20 concept 22:3 concern 9:4,5,6 11:12 58:18 75:8 85:15 94:16 concerned 23:11

13:14

**close** 18:8 23:22 99:21

31:21 44:8 48:1 49:5

55:16,17,21 60:16,18

53:16 54:3,6 55:4,5

61:1,2,14 62:10,15

63:4,4 66:3,14 70:3

71:18,19 73:3 79:9

**clubs** 3:14 5:1 43:15

49:10 51:12 53:5 56:4

58:20,21 61:19 66:2

67:6 72:7 73:10 74:11

74:13,19 76:3 81:8,12

82:2 91:14,15 92:19

85:2,6 88:11

club's 73:4

**club** 1:3,10 4:8,10

concerns 18:22 22:7.12 22:19 45:16 85:18 86:5,12 conclude 90:17 **concluded** 72:16 89:22 concludes 72:12 conclusions 90:10 conclusive 6:10 conducted 42:8 Conference 1:10 conflict 25:6 conflicts 50:19 Congress 40:5 93:11 Congressional 34:12 34:14 conjunction 84:11 conscious 8:4 consensus 93:21 consequences 83:6 **consider** 15:9 16:10 96:8 consideration 48:21 53:7 55:15 94:20 considerations 28:13 48:16 75:16 76:11 78:6 considered 31:20 consistence 73:4 **consistent** 14:9 35:3 constantly 91:17 constituents 18:17,20 18:21 consume 66:5 70:20 86:15 88:14.21 consumed 34:6 consuming 86:10 consumption 81:15 82:6,9,11 85:2 contemplates 89:13 content 4:16 31:8 90:16 CONTENTS 3:7 context 11:17 12:10 45:2 48:3 53:17 54:6 94:22 contingency 38:17 39:12 continue 80:2 contradicts 51:7 control 23:13 27:21 convening 4:7,12 conversation 6:2 53:11 92:18 conversations 77:22 91:18 convey 98:3 99:11 conveyed 62:7 **cookies** 69:19 corner 9:12

despite 53:21 90:9 CVS 28:5 correct 20:7 22:5 26:8 83:12 87:16 90:13 38:12 51:15 60:17 detail 24:10 25:20 91:11,15 92:2,10,16 D 70:2,14 26:14 76:12 78:14 document 30:4 78:21 correctly 33:15 35:21 **D** 57:9 detailing 38:14 96:13 98:6,14 99:20 details 5:13 24:5 73:21 correlated 46:14 D.C 1:11 99:22 100:3.4 cost 61:18 **D.O** 2:15 **determine** 10:4 53:8 documents 98:19 73:5 74:12,18 Council 1:18 11:5,22 daily 62:13,16 73:16 **DOH** 2:7,10,14 65:7 14:16,17 32:1 35:22 dashboard 63:21 determined 73:13 doing 11:14 19:5,8 41:8,16,18 42:8 80:2 determines 29:5 data 3:12 9:10 11:20 94:11 98:1 **developed** 55:21 81:8 doors 94:21 95:10 93:7 34:14,16,18 35:5,8 **DPR** 17:22 18:6 Councilmember 1:16 45:6,12,21 development 81:11 1:18,20 14:13 16:6,12 date 6:19 37:18 43:11 **DHA** 2:12 **Dr** 30:21 31:1 33:11,14 16:17 18:14 19:3,10 **DICKERSON** 2:8 33:18 34:4 36:6 38:3 43:13 22:1,6 23:1,4,18 24:1 day 17:16 18:1,2,9 29:5 difference 38:17 49:14 38:8,10 39:20,22 26:11,20 27:2,4 31:17 different 26:2,19 27:11 29:8 56:9 60:7 73:15 40:21 41:2 45:12 44:1 49:19 53:17 58:3 32:4 36:7 38:13,19 46:18 50:12 51:17 days 100:13,13 39:5,13,16,18,21 40:1 **DC** 3:9,12 4:5 70:13 68:12 79:15 98:8 55:9 56:13 59:17 60:9 40:2,8,14 41:21 42:20 80:1 differs 28:4 62:2,17,20 63:1,7 difficult 60:11 83:5 43:3,8,10,21 44:16,22 **DCRA** 29:4,5,8 30:2 64:14,19 65:6,19 70:8 45:8 48:8 49:7,18,22 47:18 48:6 52:1,6 87:21 74:2,7,15 76:3,20 50:4,8 61:6,14 77:1 90:5 direct 77:6 80:20 78:8,19 79:2,6,22 directly 24:12 25:19 draft 4:10 7:1,2 48:5 dead 44:2 80:5,9,22 81:4,10 dealing 84:1 26:6 28:7 90:20 83:13 93:1,3 95:1 **debates** 64:11 director 1:13,16,17,19 drink 59:8 1:20 2:13 15:12 78:9 drinking 65:10 71:4,5,6 97:5 98:4 decide 81:7 counsel 2:11.16 36:8 decided 57:15 93:4 98:7 100:19 counter 83:12 decision 10:11 42:17 disagree 19:7 drinks 66:20 67:1 71:13 counts 18:8 42:2 98:9 disclose 52:2 drop 71:14 **couple** 5:13 30:8 47:2 decisionmakers 96:6 discuss 5:18 59:20 drug 51:12,20 52:3,15 70:13 decisions 92:21 61:7 52:21 53:5 course 33:19 81:13 decrease 46:5 discussed 59:13 81:13 dry 68:21 95:12 decreased 46:7 91:19 due 83:21 cover 4:5 61:18 decriminalized 32:9,10 **discussing** 5:15,15 duh 23:9,9,9 **covered** 42:6,9 **defer** 6:19 **discussion** 5:4 6:22 dumping 68:20 **CPM** 2:12 deferred 10:10 7:22 8:17 9:15 10:22 **DYMOND** 2:7 cream 71:19 **define** 64:17 12:2 14:16 24:5 27:16 Ε **creams** 71:15 **defined** 59:18 61:13 36:19 46:3 48:18 E 57:14 74:6 **create** 23:10 48:7,12 74:5 52:13 59:22 67:19 52:11 75:15 81:14 defining 5:8 68:1 80:3 93:5 e.g 73:21 88:22 92:22 definitely 65:22 91:4,12 discussions 4:18 5:2 early 56:21 created 6:8 52:1 87:13 definitions 57:14,19 9:3 64:11 80:7,15 easy 21:6 28:20 29:11 creates 9:1 44:17 **definitive** 5:21 6:9 disparity 46:6 29:22 **edible** 66:8 creating 9:7 19:4 82:19 **delete** 56:11 58:15 dispensaries 11:6,8 deleted 40:16 50:18 12:17,22 14:2,11 44:7 editing 6:22 88:11 creation 52:6 deletion 50:22 edition 48:11 crime 11:12 12:13 deliberate 10:7 **dispensary** 6:5 11:18 edits 31:8,12,16 **crimes** 14:3 deliberations 5:2 13:1 57:12 58:5 **education** 46:13,14 criminal 22:12 deliberative 5:7 90:3 dispense 12:11 72:12 criteria 8:9,18 17:4 distance 8:1 11:15 12:5 density 46:11 effective 17:11 22:11 52:8 15:6 16:1,3,15,22 deny 18:11 **eight** 79:7 17:15,15 76:6 critical 28:2 83:20 department 1:13,19 eighth 54:10 **cultivation** 6:5 11:7,18 17:21 24:17 50:15 distances 11:18 16:10 either 28:17 36:16 12:18 13:2,14,15 14:1 78:2 98:7 48:14 75:1 81:16 14:10 57:11 70:12 distinction 50:5 electronic 59:20 **depend** 78:12 **District** 1:1,18 6:1 85:5 89:9 **Deputy** 1:15 2:13 eligible 27:9 current 3:9 11:5 55:7 described 78:10 30:17 32:17 33:9,21 else's 21:20 description 43:12,14 34:1 37:16 39:11 45:7 email 50:17 **currently** 6:15 51:5 **cut** 43:6 designated 30:1 51:21 53:1,4 82:8 emails 100:16

emergency 38:17 existed 46:7 33:7 39:15 50:18 51:2 gap 91:22 emphasize 78:20 existing 11:17 12:10 51:6 61:1 65:5,11 gauge 6:11 employee 25:18 exists 91:22 96:20 94:10,13,13,19 general 1:22,22 2:11,16 fit 48:14 30:16 31:3 36:8,19,22 employment 46:15 expansion 78:1 57:10 **experience** 11:20 12:12 five 34:17 40:16 97:10 76:15 enabling 96:12 97:15 experiencing 82:8 97:17,21 General's 89:6 97:16,16 experimenting 87:7 generally 38:18 fixes 30:8 enact 39:12 expertise 9:16 flexibility 57:15,17 73:5 generic 49:5 ended 9:18 79:12 explaining 78:14 74:18 geographic 46:10 enforce 32:15,16,19 flexible 57:19 getting 15:2 18:17 56:1 express 22:7 flip 30:4 33:20 58:10 69:5 **expressed** 9:5 82:5 58:11 59:13 enforcement 32:14 extensively 45:22 focus 83:18 **GIL** 1:14 11:3 12:14 33:8,17 58:16 60:10 externalities 11:21 folks 9:4 42:16 23:15 33:22 34:5 35:7 77:7,8 92:3 extra 26:16 27:6 food 63:18,21 64:2,8,9 35:19 37:1,8,14,22 extremely 96:3 100:9 64:17,22 66:3,4,12,19 38:6,9,16 39:1,9,17 enforcing 25:10 59:5 67:2,3,7,12 70:4,10 eyes 96:2 **engage** 87:20 40:10 54:13,16,20 engaged 91:17 71:7,8 85:2 55:3 64:2,6 66:1,9,14 F engagement 8:22 42:22 footnote 34:15,18 66:17 67:20 68:4 69:8 F 63:12 78:4 for-profit 61:19 69:18 80:14,17 87:12 enjoying 60:15 **ensure** 35:15 68:22 facilities 12:6,10,12 **forbids** 68:14,15 give 22:10 23:16 29:9 ensuring 24:19 14:7 16:11,11 17:17 force 1:3,10 4:8,10 5:10 40:3 54:15,16,17,17 enter 22:21 18:2 82:13 95:10 14:16,20 31:21 41:11 57:17 69:6 92:20 entering 60:18 facility 18:6,9 58:2 42:13 43:13 45:3 given 29:17 55:16 65:9 **entities** 48:13 47:13 67:5 68:11 73:1 73:5 74:15,17 86:9 fact 8:8 13:16 95:19 89:21 90:11 **entity** 41:10 factors 9:20 15:19 16:2 **gives** 92:2 equals 54:22 form 4:18 53:9 giving 45:2 18:11 22:8 23:20 29:7 **ESQ** 2:11.16 96:12 97:15,16,17 formal 36:12 **go** 4:3 20:15,21 22:22 essentially 70:21 fall 77:4 formatting 79:16 25:20 26:11 28:21 establish 24:13 32:2 **forms** 46:18 31:18 34:10 60:4 **false** 93:15 established 14:15 FDA's 67:14 **forth** 6:10 70:18 71:18 74:3,5 31:21 86:2 **February** 37:20,21 forum 42:18,19 91:20 75:22 76:6,10,15 establishment 24:16 federal 32:13,14,15,15 92:19 77:19 78:4 84:18 forward 83:17 86:1,21 25:6,11,11,16,17,19 32:16,17,19 33:7,8,16 88:13 93:2,6 97:3 25:21 26:7 28:6 82:2 33:20 39:11 40:11 99.4 98:18 99:4 four 16:19 34:10,17 85:9 51:15 52:9,10,11,15 goal 89:19 92:15 establishments 12:19 52:18 68:20 77:13 98:17 god 70:19 13:10 15:7 19:19 20:4 **fee** 72:15 73:5 74:10,12 **framed** 94:4 goes 25:17 57:3 72:14 24:12 69:12 72:18 74:18 framework 19:5 44:6,21 going 10:3 12:16,19 83:3 84:1,3 87:8 feedback 45:9,10 87:14 88:11 89:1,15 13:9,11,19 14:3 15:10 event 60:20 61:7,10 feel 14:18 22:2 90:9 91:1,6 93:5,19 94:1 16:9 21:5,19 23:12,12 75:13 Fred 1:17 63:8 73:1 27:19 32:6 35:11 99.3 40:12 41:20 55:19 events 57:22 61:15,17 fees 9:18 10:7 57:16 87:13 61:22 72:18 Fred's 65:18 85:20 66:13 69:1,22 78:1 everybody 30:11 31:10 81:22 85:10 87:7 feet 18:5 free 54:14 55:5 89:13 99:14 felt 86:13 **freely** 66:5 90:20 91:5 98:1 99:16 Friday 1:7 26:12 good 8:4 23:3,4 28:10 everybody's 60:22 figure 9:22 100:10 final 4:7,22 5:5 90:21 frighten 57:3 33:3,5 42:13 60:8 everyone's 90:10 91:4 100:6,6 front 81:18 91:9 76:19 87:14 92:21 Everything's 54:20 finalize 7:4 frustrations 42:15 98:14.14 **GOSSEH** 2:10 exactly 12:14 16:6 24:1 fuller 12:1 finalizing 7:1 28:1 37:8 53:15 69:14 fully 87:22 finally 55:9 government 1:1 2:8 69:17 financially 10:1,5 funds 39:12 40:6 45:20 51:15 68:12 example 27:5 further 40:6 67:18 68:1 government's 69:5 find 12:21 82:13,16 excellent 83:21 future 55:16 56:21 fine 26:3 27:15 35:2 grammar 75:4 **FY** 95:4,7 grammatical 31:12 excerpts 79:14 43:22 50:6,8 54:20 exchanging 55:5 62:7 75:2 81:1 56:16 G granted 36:5 **excited** 63:7,9 finish 56:20 exist 10:16 97:14 first 24:8 31:5 32:6,9 graph 38:14 **G** 76:15

gray 44:18 56:2 86:11 great 57:12 99:21 **green** 96:9 ground 84:9 group 5:5 group's 6:12,12 grow 12:10 87:19 guess 39:9 52:13 84:7 89:6 guests 58:1 60:18 61:12,16 87:8 90:7 guidance 14:21 15:4,11 55:16 69:6 guidelines 55:20 guiding 94:16 **gym** 60:4 Н H 75:16 77:16 78:5 **hall** 80:10,12 **hang-up** 88:3 happen 14:4 63:15 82:9 82:12 94:7 happened 13:21 43:18 91:8 happening 79:10 92:10 **happens** 13:13 hard 99:14 100:9 **harm** 9:8 **header** 43:20 headers 47:14 health 1:1,14 65:8 82:3 82:17 83:19 98:8 hear 22:19 91:10 heard 18:15 61:1 85:13 hearing 22:22 35:22 36:2 38:1 hearings 37:6 42:1 44:5 80:17 held 41:9.22 61:18 Helder 1:14 38:5 84:22 **Helder's** 67:16 help 27:20 80:15 helpful 93:18 97:6

nealth 1:1,14 65:8 82:3
82:17 83:19 98:8
hear 22:19 91:10
heard 18:15 61:1 85:13
hearing 22:22 35:22
36:2 38:1
hearings 37:6 42:1 44:5
80:17
held 41:9,22 61:18
Helder 1:14 38:5 84:22
Helder's 67:16
help 27:20 80:15
helpful 93:18 97:6
helps 65:22
hey 98:19
higher 15:9 45:15 65:9
highlight 5:12
highly 46:14 86:3
hire 28:6
hired 24:16,17
hires 25:14
history 13:22
hold 35:22 36:1,1 42:17
holidays 21:3
home 82:13 88:21
hope 81:19
horse 44:2

host 57:22 61:7,10,15 61:20 hour 61:2 hours 5:19 7:10,12 19:22 20:11,20 21:3 21:14,16,17 75:18,20 78:3 housing 81:16 HRLA 2:13

HUSBAND 2:11

ice 82:1 idea 27:18 59:14 61:7 86:9 93:14 ignore 41:5 illegal 44:13 52:15 53:21 54:1,11 55:1 illegally 83:3,4,6,7 **imagine** 55:19 imbedded 48:3 immediately 95:15 **impact** 11:12 impacted 41:18 impactful 12:16 impacts 11:21 84:2,6 implement 95:6 implemented 95:9 implementing 41:12 implied 81:5 **implies** 94:18,19 imply 94:18 importance 78:20 important 15:20 27:20 31:19 92:18 imposed 40:5 inability 9:20 inappropriate 72:9 inappropriately 92:4 include 17:18 37:12 64:3 included 36:13 79:11 includes 18:2 including 89:15 income 52:20 increasing 42:2 incredibly 88:11 indicates 51:11

indiscriminate 73:2

88:21

**indoors** 82:12

influence 15:13

informed 10:10

infrastructure 81:9

influenced 41:13

**individuals** 63:3 88:13

industrial 8:19 49:14

**information** 45:14 84:4

82:20 90:13 91:12 92:22 95:12 97:20 **infused** 64:10,17,22 66:19 67:3,7 86:7,16 Ingesting 71:8 ingredients 88:9 inhale 70:22 inherent 87:10 initial 6:21 48:5 initially 8:17 **Initiative** 18:18,21 19:9 19:16 32:7 34:11 44:15 53:21 54:12,13 92:11 **insert** 53:1 inside 24:22 25:3 29:6 46:12 intended 31:15 59:16 98:2 intent 92:8,11 intention's 8:5 intentional 10:22 intentionally 4:6 interest 25:7 interested 83:8 **interests** 19:2.14 Interim 2:12 Intern 2:7,14 interpretation 19:20 36:4 37:3,3 intimate 72:8 intro 74:4 79:11 introduction 74:9 issue 7:22 18:13 23:21 27:8.13 32:13 46:9 50:10 51:16 52:19 55:12 66:9 69:9 85:7 88:6 issued 29:4 36:11 37:18 84:10 issues 7:2,7,9 83:20 it'll 31:7 item 34:10 items 99:15,19

J
Jackie 75:21
JACQUELINE 2:15
January 95:11
JASMINE 2:10
job 81:5 83:22 100:14
join 63:3
JORDAN 1:20
judged 23:9
juice 70:14
July 4:13,20
jurisdictions 3:15
79:10 82:22 83:2 91:8

Κ keep 35:3 Kelly 1:19 13:5 25:13 35:4 47:3 57:4 62:4 76:13 78:7 79:19 **killjoy** 65:18 kind 18:8.12 31:6 45:1 45:3 72:20 73:8 91:20 kinks 86:19 **know** 7:11,13 8:3,12 9:2 9:18 10:7,8,16 13:20 14:14 15:8,16 16:2,3 17:14,16 18:13 19:4 19:17 21:20 22:19 23:8 24:10 25:9 26:22 27:5 33:3.5 34:15 37:12 38:4 41:19 42:14 43:1 46:5,10,11 46:14 50:17 51:8 52:14 53:19 55:18 56:3 57:18 58:9 59:12 60:1,15 61:2 64:1 69:12,15 70:20 71:18 71:22 72:14 76:4 84:9 84:13,18,19,19 85:4 85:12,13,19 86:17,17 88:8,9,10 89:16,17,19 94:1,2,10 95:11,17,18 96:16 98:6,19 99:2,4 100:9 knows 70:19

L

**Labor** 56:9 laboratory 86:19 lack 9:8 92:1 95:18 96:1 96:2 land 32:13,15,19 language 37:11,13 47:3 76:13 78:17 96:17 **LaQuandra** 1:11,13 Laughter 56:5 59:15 law 24:6,11 32:14 33:7 33:8,16 34:1 52:15 53:1,5 55:7 68:13,15 69:6,7 92:3 93:7 laws 3:9 25:10 32:15,16 32:17 33:20 lead 15:5,7,7,18,22 84:5 leader 82:8 lean 94:9 learn 82:21 learned 95:16 leave 24:4 31:9,11 49:1 63:19 80:19,22 82:12 leaves 68:21 leaving 67:17 **led** 9:3

legal 69:2 81:20 85:5 88:5 89:2,10 legalization 87:15,17 legalized 32:7 87:22 legally 51:12,20 56:6 legislation 14:15 15:1 32:1,9 35:13 43:12,14 44:7 80:13 legislative 1:14,16 41:12,19 lemonade 53:19 54:9 lesser 21:16 let's 4:3 67:4 90:12 93:6 94:21 95:3,21 level 45:22 52:9 levels 46:13,15,15 **LEWIS** 2:12 library 18:10 license 17:12 51:22 58:7 90:4 licensed 12:18 18:2 49:10,10 licensee 22:4 licenses 23:17 51:5 licensing 47:19,22 48:13 50:11,15 77:22 89:8 96:4 licensure 77:2,6 **lieu** 44:5 lifted 93:21 94:6 95:4 96:10 likened 82:14 limit 8:12 limitations 6:5 11:6,16 35:12 limited 21:21 29:2 limits 5:22 6:4 10:15 21:14 76:5,10 78:4 line 38:4 40:22 91:9 liquor 50:11,14 list 77:2 **Lists** 62:12 lit 94:10,17,19 96:9 litter 22:12 little 7:21 15:21 22:2 23:6 26:19 44:8 86:14 87:4 live 58:13 81:16 local 39:11 95:5 96:11 located 8:1 15:14 20:18 location 5:8,22 7:17 49:11 locations 8:20 41:3 76:5,5 77:18,19 **locked** 58:4 loitering 22:13 long 46:3 87:1 longer 98:7

look 7:6 11:5 16:3 45:21 55:11 63:7 90:4 90:6,13 91:7,7 96:4,5 96:14 98:18,19 looked 11:9 14:20 16:3 looking 8:16 41:17 46:4 85:22 looks 9:10 55:1 lost 77:16 lot 11:12 44:20 47:9 86:21 92:2,13,20 **lots** 72:3,9

#### M

maintain 62:11,15 63:4 **major** 31:8 majority 89:22 making 10:11 12:3 23:22 34:7 47:10 63:11 92:14 manufacture 89:9 manufacturing 50:3 marijuana 1:3,10 3:9,12 3:18 4:8,10 6:6,15 11:7,17 12:11 13:16 13:20 14:11 31:21 32:8 33:20 34:2,7,22 35:9,12 42:3,4 44:12 45:7 46:13 53:9,20 54:10.11 57:11 58:8 58:21 59:9 65:9 67:6 70:8,20 72:10 79:13 82:2 84:14 85:8,13 86:2 87:3,16 88:1 89:10 91:18 92:5,8 97:14 Marijuana- 86:6 marijuana-infused 64:3 64:12 66:2,4 67:13 70:4.10 marked 30:7 marketing 89:11 **markup** 46:17 match 35:14 matter 93:13 100:20 Maureen 1:21 30:10,21 31:11 51:17 89:4 maximum 21:16 Mayor 1:15 31:20 32:1 **Mayor's** 5:11,16 47:7 **MD** 1:13 **MEAH** 1:16 mean 7:6,10,18 16:14 16:18 18:15 25:2 26:1 29:4,5,10 44:3,10 49:12,13 62:6 63:22 67:15 69:12 71:1

75:10 80:6 84:15

means 53:13 meant 31:14 measures 39:12 medical 6:6,15 11:7,17 42:3,4 57:11 86:2 92:4.7 meet 67:14 meeting 1:5 4:20 5:5 24:4 91:21 meetings 4:18 41:9 80:18 meets 68:19 **MEKONNEN** 2:14 member 5:5 60:13 61:8 61:11 members 54:2 55:5 60:15,17 61:15 66:3 70:3 73:1 90:11 **membership** 5:8 55:20 57:14 59:11,17,18 61:13 62:3,5 72:18 74:5,21 90:6 memberships 57:17 58:2 73:1.3 74:4 **memo** 37:18 79:8 mention 16:8 44:4 mentioned 15:12 16:5 49:4 75:11 mentioning 78:20 met 1:10 68:6,18 Metropolitan 1:19 **middle** 84:9 mind 18:18.20 19:9 **minds** 91:13 **mine** 79:20 minimum 73:13,17 74:13,19 minor 30:8 minors 89:12 **minutes** 57:1 **mirror** 18:16 mirrored 57:10 mirroring 22:3 misconstrue 92:10 misinterpret 44:14 **missed** 48:6 missing 30:10 31:15 **mission** 53:10 Monday 90:19 100:2,3 money 26:16 54:22 month 4:12 91:21 monthly 57:20 73:11,13 73:17,22 74:14,20,21 months 89:20 95:13,21 97:21 98:13

85:12 91:1 98:12,18

meaning 96:9,10

Moosally 1:17 7:5 10:2 10:13 15:22 16:7,14 16:18 17:1,3,6,9,13 17:20 18:3 20:2,8,10 20:13,16,20 21:2,8,12 22:5,9 23:3,19 24:7 24:21 25:4,8,17 26:3 26:9 27:11,15,19 28:3 28:10,14,19,22 29:21 36:13 37:20 41:6 49:16,20 50:1,6,10,16 51:1,4 56:6 58:11,18 59:1,4,7 60:19,22 61:9 62:1 63:9,11 64:4 65:17,21 69:11 69:15 70:11 71:5 72:13,20 73:7,14,18 74:1,22 75:7,19 76:7 76:19,21 77:10 78:10 78:18 79:1,4 84:7 98:11 99:1,7,10,16 100:18 Morgan 26:12,18 **mouth** 71:15 **move** 33:15 65:13 85:21 86:20 moved 41:2 42:10 **moving** 83:17 **MPD** 25:18,18,20 27:19 27:22 83:16 **MPH** 1:13 multiple 42:1 murky 19:16

### Ν

N.E 1:11 Nadeau 1:16,18 14:13 16:6,12,17 18:14 19:3 19:10 22:1,6 23:1,4 23:18 24:1 26:11,20 27:2,4 31:17 32:4 36:7 38:13,19 39:5,13 39:16,18,21 40:2,8,14 41:21 42:20 43:3,8,10 43:21 44:16,22 45:8 48:8 49:7,18,22 50:4 50:8 61:6 77:1 78:8 78:19 79:2,6,22 80:5 80:9,22 81:4,10 83:13 93:1,3 95:1 97:5 98:4 nameless 56:8 narrative 4:17 nature 52:2 **near** 9:6 necessarily 14:18 19:17 necessary 29:16 52:8 need 4:21 12:9 13:6

28:12 29:18 30:9.11 61:20 **Office** 1:15,16,20,22 2:8 other's 83:14 35:13 44:2,20 55:22 non-marijuana 64:10 36:21 76:14 ounce 54:10,14,17,18 55:6 68:16,18,19 69:2 64:9,14,16 67:18 64:17,22 66:18 67:3,7 officer 60:12 78:6 officers 24:11 26:13 75:15 79:17,17 90:15 **non-medical** 3:17 79:13 70:7 92:21 94:14 99:19 non-profit 51:8 52:8 28:7 ounces 68:20 needed 67:19 68:1 non-profits 50:20 51:5 officers' 76:12 outline 4:19 **needs** 11:16 56:3 94:3 Nope 56:22 officially 47:6 68:13,17 outlined 5:9 6:6 7:3 96:13 normally 77:2 83:8 10:12 56:1 89:7 negate 8:10 **North** 1:10 offline 31:12 56:17 72:4 outlines 97:20 outset 48:22 97:8 negative 11:20 not-for-8:8 75:22 neighborhoods 21:13 **note** 69:9 oh 32:20 36:15 39:17 outside 24:16 42:8 noted 84:4 neighbors 22:19 39:17 50:21 62:20 46:12 88:21 Neither 83:13 notes 43:17 47:4 66:9,22 74:7 76:18 outstanding 99:15,18 Nesbitt 1:11,13 4:3 8:14 **notice** 57:5 61:16 okay 20:5,19,19 21:1,7 over- 17:3 noticed 30:13 48:5 10:3,14 12:3,22 13:4 25:13 26:3 28:9,14,15 over-concentration 22:18 13:8,13 17:18 18:1,19 **nuances** 47:19 32:5 33:1 35:9 36:15 19:6,13,22 20:5,9,14 number 5:22 6:19,20 38:10,11 40:16 41:4 overburden 92:7 20:19 21:1,7 25:13 10:17 11:1,6 22:10 45:10 47:22 49:18,22 overcome 18:12 29:6,15,16 30:1 34:10 28:9,12,15,21 29:12 50:4,9 53:3,6,6 56:9 overseeing 85:10 30:3,15,19 31:4 32:3 82:19 98:13 56:15,19 58:15 59:10 **owner** 73:4 32:11,18,22 33:3,12 **numbers** 11:8 17:4 62:2,17 64:6 72:5 owners 55:17,21 34:9,20 35:4,8,17 40:20 75:9 76:3,10 77:20 ownership 6:14 57:10 36:11,15 37:15,21 numerical 9:16 81:21 85:14 95:1 97:5 57:12 38:21 39:3,7 40:15 98:4 0 41:1,4,7,22 42:22 on-premises 20:1,3,6 43:5.9.19 44:10.17 one-day 57:16 73:2 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S **O** 29:10 45:1,10,17 46:2,16,22 **O'MEARA** 1:19 12:15 **one-ounce** 68:4.5 4:1 48:10 50:14,21 51:3 13:1,7,12 16:21 17:2 **onerous** 48:19 package 43:1 ones 17:14 48:15 88:16 51:13,19 52:17 53:3,6 17:5,8,11 19:15 21:10 page 30:18 31:18 32:7 53:15 54:19 55:13 24:2.9 25:2.5.9.16 88:17 34:17 35:11 40:20 56:7,14,18,20 57:2,7 26:1,8,18,21 27:3,8 ongoing 90:14 49:9 50:11,12 51:10 58:15,20 59:2,6,10,21 27:13,17 28:1,4 32:6 **onsite** 66:5 paid 26:15 60:17 61:4,10 62:8,11 32:12,20 33:2,6,16,19 open 58:8 67:18 96:2 pan 68:6,21 62:13,18,21 63:2,10 34:13 35:2,10 38:12 **opened** 94:21 paragraph 33:7 38:4 63:12 64:5,7,16,21 45:13,19 46:9,20 opening 6:21 56:4,9 65:4 65:12,15 66:11,16,18 52:22 53:4,13 56:22 95:10 paraphernalia 51:12,20 66:21 67:2,10,22 68:5 57:5 59:12,16,19 60:8 opens 11:4 52:3,16,21 53:5 68:10 69:10,14,17 60:10 62:6,9,12 63:6 **operate** 6:1 83:4 parking 22:16 70:2,6,14,18 71:3,8 65:3,7,13,18,20 66:20 operated 17:21,22 Parks 17:19,21 71:17,22 72:3,6,19,22 66:22 67:9,16,21 68:8 operating 83:3,6,7 part 5:3 8:15 14:17 73:9,16,20 74:3,8,17 69:21 70:3,9,16,19 **operation** 5:19 7:10 26:14 28:2 38:1 40:18 75:3,9,20 76:4,9,18 71:10,20 72:5,11 74:6 75:18,21 78:3 40:21 44:19 48:10 77:4,12,17,21 78:11 83:16 100:19 operations 41:14 76:2 77:5,5,7,10,16 opine 6:17 7:4 79:7 80:6,11,16,19,21 **OAG** 35:20 36:7,9 53:17 77:17 79:11 80:1,8 81:2,6,21 83:15 85:19 **OAG's** 36:4 37:3 opines 36:22 93:20 opinion 35:20 36:10,12 89:3,17 93:2 94:17 objective 96:3 partially 7:19 95:2 97:9 98:5,22 obtain 52:10 37:18 81:22 95:16 PARTICIPANT 20:12 **obviously** 7:18 8:5 99:6,9,12,18 opinions 5:4 96:22 72.1 particular 5:17 40:13 never 6:8 97:1 opportunity 12:1 23:14 81:22 **new** 22:4 38:15 48:7,11 83:1 94:12 occupancy 10:15 29:1 41:16 48:12 51:22 52:6,7 opposite 81:22 29:3 30:2 76:8,9 78:4 party 61:21 night 26:13 30:14 61:19 occur 9:12 14:7 90:8 opposition 9:5 **pass** 60:5 passed 15:3 19:12 40:5 Nightlife 27:1 occurring 29:14 88:15 **option** 93:6,16 nine 79:10 95:12 **options** 88:20 off-duty 28:6 43:12,14 93:7 **nobody's** 56:1 off-premises 12:18 order 5:11,16 7:3 8:16 **paste** 43:6 PATRICE 2:8 noise 22:13 offer 9:15 31:17 81:22 9:14 10:12 22:11 47:7 non-electorate 19:14 83:12 original 8:16 18:18 patrons 29:2,6 non-establishment offered 32:1 **OSSE** 34:16 pay 25:21,22 26:4 52:19 pays 25:21 **peace** 22:11 pedestrian 22:16 penalties 28:17 **people** 10:17 13:18 19:14 22:2 23:7,11 29:15,17 45:3 46:3,7 58:1 60:2 63:20 65:16 67:12 68:10 69:6 72:10 82:5,10,12 83:2 86:10 87:6 89:22 91:10 92:8 96:6 97:3 **peoples'** 31:6 97:1 **perception** 9:7 13:15 19:20 **perceptions** 9:11 13:10 performance 46:19 period 93:9 permanent 9:3 48:18 48:19 **permissible** 5:1 68:16 permit 81:17 **permitted** 29:6 52:3 61:13,15 67:6 75:17 person 98:8 **perspective** 82:3 91:13 perspectives 82:4 pesticides 86:6 **phase** 90:10 PHILLIP 2:11 physical 29:15,16 **piece** 8:20,22 9:16,18 10:21 20:1 42:12,13 42:15 45:20 46:19 48:6 49:5.6 53:18 59:11 64:1 75:18 76:11 78:3,9 piggyback 98:11 place 10:18 24:14 44:1 82:6 84:16 85:16 87:1 88:22 96:11 placed 9:14 places 8:6 12:7 15:9 19:1 27:6 52:20 85:20 85:22 plan 14:9 29:18 **PLANNING** 1:1 plans 14:10 75:12 plant 42:2 **plenty** 52:20 plus 54:21,22 point 8:15 13:5,17 23:19 26:9 28:19 36:21 37:10 50:20 52:13 84:22 85:20 **pointed** 31:10 police 1:19 24:11,18 25:3 26:13 28:6

policies 41:12,13 policy 1:14 3:10 44:12 91:18 pool 26:15 **pop** 43:9 popping 8:11 position 10:10 83:10,12 83:14 85:21 87:13 89:6 95:8,14,17,21 96:15 positions 96:22 97:1 **possess** 87:18 possession 32:8 33:1 68:2 possible 15:4 possibly 52:6 posted 4:5 potentially 24:6 85:21 **pouch** 53:20 54:10 powers 99:3 practical 49:8 practices 10:4 82:21 99:8 precedent 49:9 preceding 46:18 premature 28:18 84:3 premises 7:12 9:21 13:17 14:12 60:6 presence 27:7 present 1:13 2:5 46:4 presented 45:14 86:12 presiding 1:11 presumption 18:13 pretty 29:11 34:11 87:14 previous 4:15,19 34:1 62:3 previously 35:14 private 1:3,10 3:14 4:8 4:10 5:1 31:21 48:1 49:5,9 51:11 53:5,16 54:6 55:4 61:14 67:6 71:18 73:4 75:10 76:3 79:9 81:8,12 82:2 85:1,6 88:13 91:14,15 92:19 95:9 96:8 **probably** 7:11 8:10 11:15 12:16 13:5,9 58:13 65:14 69:11 84:8,8,10 100:13 process 6:20 23:2,3,5 38:8 77:8 78:16 90:3 90:4 96:1,4 processes 78:15 processing 77:14

product 84:20 85:2

product's 70:12

87:22 88:4,7 89:2,2

productive 93:17 products 64:9,12,18 65:1 66:3,4,19 67:12 67:13 70:5,13 71:7,9 72:4 85:1,8,11 86:3,7 86:8,16 87:3 95:20 96:19 profit 8:9 program 6:7 11:11,21 42:3,4 92:8 **Program's** 57:11 programs 86:2 progress 63:10,11 86:1 87:2 91:9 92:14,17 prohibit 36:22 37:16 prohibited 39:10 prohibiting 89:11 **prohibits** 37:2 93:8 project 100:9 proliferation 18:22 promoter 61:21 promoting 45:20 property 11:13 22:16 22:17 propose 24:13 proposed 15:1 protect 15:10 protest 22:10,17 **provide** 12:7 14:20 15:4 15:11,15 23:6 53:16 55:16 58:1 providing 4:15 proximity 15:8 public 1:15 12:1 24:16 24:20 25:14 42:17.19 65:8 80:2,7,14 82:3,6 82:9,11,16,17 83:19 83:20 84:2,6 96:19 public's 13:10,15 **pull** 100:12 **pulse** 6:12 punt 55:12 purpose 92:11 purposes 60:11 put 6:10 8:6 24:14 35:14 47:12 53:7 64:14 73:21 74:16 75:14 94:22 **putting** 77:15

Q qualities 88:7 quantify 66:8 68:2 quarterly 57:20 73:12 73:22 75:1 question 26:2 27:12 49:8 67:9,17 70:1 72:13 questions 90:14 92:3 92:20 quick 72:13 quiet 22:11 quite 4:4 64:11 82:18 quota 6:9 7:22 8:2 quotas 6:6 10:20

R race 46:15 radar 88:16,19 raise 23:19 raised 84:22 rate 45:14 rates 65:10 **ratio** 46:6 rationale 11:2,3 read 46:3 49:1 60:12 74:12 ready 56:15 58:12 real 22:16 45:16 72:13 really 14:17,19 15:14 16:18 23:2,13 65:4 67:12 72:14 81:13 84:14 87:15 88:3 89:14 90:11 91:18 93:14 reason 9:13 44:19 reasons 65:9 81:12,18 rec 16:4 17:20,20,22 18:6 recognize 92:12 recommend 12:4 83:17 recommendation 4:22 6:11 14:6.8 40:18 47:11,15 51:11,21 55:15 62:3 65:8 73:21 74:10 89:21 recommendations 3:20 29:19 40:19 47:6,13 48:2 98:14 99:2,5 recommended 67:5 recommending 37:17 reconcile 52:5 record 60:6 100:21 recreational 32:8 92:9 97:14

redundant 77:3

referring 39:14

regarding 24:14

35:1

97:13

Register 4:6

reference 34:2,20,21

regardless 97:19 99:2

regime 92:1 95:7,19

registry 62:13,15

regs 18:16 22:4

regular 26:14 restriction 77:18 rowdy 22:13 sell 9:20 51:12 52:3.15 regulate 82:15 87:21 **restrictions** 6:4,18 8:10 **rub** 52:11 71:15,18 53:5 63:18,20 64:7,8 64:22 66:2,13,18 67:2 regulated 86:4,16 89:9 12:6 40:6 42:4 78:7 **rule** 24:14 68:18,19 89:16 95:20 70:7 67:3,6 85:6 87:19 regulating 83:22 84:13 restrictive 38:22 39:2,4 rules 55:20 selling 52:21 85:10 regulation 1:17 3:17 39:8 **RUMMEL** 1:20 semi-commercial 42:11,12 79:13 91:11 restructure 47:8 88:17 S 92:1,7,14 95:6,19 retail 89:10 send 34:14,18 43:7,17 96:10 97:2,13 revenue-generating safe 29:5 81:14 79:1 regulations 6:16 86:7 sends 44:12 52:4 **safety** 1:15 12:13,13 Senior 1:21 2:12 31:2 87:14 88:2 review 3:9,14 4:9 7:8 22:16 24:19 65:8 regulatory 9:20 19:4 8:15 94:10,18,19 82:17 83:20 84:2,6 **sense** 8:12 40:9 72:20 25:10 44:5,21 78:14 sent 50:17,21 79:19 96:20 84:11,16 85:14 89:14 reviewed 4:15 12:9 **sake** 31:7 sentence 34:1,5 35:13 35:5 79:9 sale 55:2 89:10,11 93:19,22 39:10 50:18,19 51:2,6 reviewing 7:1 reimbursable 24:5,10 **sales** 3:18 79:13 84:11 51:7 65:4,5,11 80:1 reining 83:5 revised 42:3 84:17 85:14 80:21 81:3 related 42:2,10 46:12 revisit 95:21 sanction 68:13 **serve** 63:18,21 64:7,8 relates 12:12 rider 34:12,14 35:18,20 65:1 67:7 sanctioned 70:21 relation 65:10 36:3,5,17,22 37:2,5 services 12:7 sanctioning 83:8 Relations 2:9 37:11,16 38:15,15,16 satisfactory 55:14 serving 64:2 68:22 relatively 4:6 38:20 39:8,10,15,22 satisfied 40:7 85:10 86:16,18 **released** 35:1,6 40:5 93:8,11,20 95:4 saturation 16:22 set 10:16 11:10 88:2 remain 27:21 56:8 96:10 setting 53:16 Saturday 26:12 remember 35:21 52:12 ridiculous 68:12 settlement 21:13 22:21 saying 7:8,19 11:14 remuneration 53:14 right 4:3 8:14 16:16,17 14:18 15:22 23:7 23:16 replaced 36:16 17:7,8 18:3,19 19:6,6 45:20 49:19 60:12 seven 47:6 replicate 6:14 21:12 25:4,4,8,14,21 64:22 68:16 70:17 share 43:16 69:1 27:2,4,22 28:3,15 **sharing** 68:6 69:20 report 4:11 5:13 6:9 7:1 71:10 72:1 73:8 76:5 7:2,13 8:15,21 15:3 29:12 30:3 34:4,9 89:22 91:5.10 93:6.14 **SHARON** 2:12 34:22 35:9 65:9 89:7 35:7,11,17 37:1,5,14 94:9 96:3 97:7,9,12 **sheet** 59:14 62:15,16 says 29:9 33:7 37:15,17 90:16 97:19 39:7 40:15 42:20 63:4 **reports** 88:15 43:22 44:16 45:11,18 38:15 51:8 74:10 short 4:6 represented 41:10 46:9,16 47:1,5 50:16 75:16 80:1 90:3 96:13 **showing** 11:20 request 55:11 51:18 52:21 53:2 54:1 **scale** 10:8 **shut** 44:13 require 48:14 52:1,7 54:19 55:3,8 56:3,11 scheme 92:7 96:10 **side** 54:10,11 92:3 required 5:10 32:3 56:14,17 57:8,9,13 school 18:6 sign 25:20 60:1 requirement 16:1,15 59:3,6,10 60:14 62:1 **schools** 16:4 17:16 sign-in 59:14 62:14,16 requirements 12:5 63:6,12 65:6,12 66:8 **scope** 44:8 45:4 63:4 47:19,20 48:1 50:11 66:11,14,15,16 70:11 seat 83:11 **signature** 60:11,12 57:12 70:13 71:17 72:5,11 second 5:19 38:15,16 significant 5:14 9:4 requires 4:9 73:7,14 75:9,19 76:13 38:20 39:22 65:13 46:5 82:16,18 84:2 requiring 32:1 52:9 76:20 77:10,11 79:4,7 section 28:11 34:17 86:5,12 significantly 46:7 resemble 61:19 81:7 83:10,15 84:16 35:12,18,19 38:7,14 reservations 82:19 85:3,6 87:5 93:2,7 40:16,17 41:7,17 47:6 similar 6:4,13 12:20 residence 88:14 94:5 97:19 98:22 13:9 20:1,3 24:13,14 47:14 62:5 69:8 74:9 residential 7:20 49:13 99:13,13 75:16 76:11 78:1,5 similarly 7:14 49:17 50:2 risk 9:8,11 15:9 87:9 **simply** 6:14 79:17 **resolve** 67:21 96:20 sections 42:7 44:11 Simultaneous 46:21 **RN-BC** 2:12 respect 83:21 79:20 73:19 road 62:7 sit 10:6 83:11 respective 41:14 **sector** 83:19 **response** 100:15 robust 12:2 security 14:9,9 26:16 size 68:22 role 77:6 78:10 skin 71:16 responsibility 69:5 28:11 29:18 75:12.15 rolling 93:9 95:13 slapping 11:1 90:22 **see** 26:13 27:6 39:17 rolls 93:11 49:3 59:8 78:21 84:1 responsible 81:14 sliding 10:8 rest 38:2 49:2 **Room** 1:10 **seeing** 56:21 slip 76:8 round 36:1 41:22 44:4 **SMDs** 15:14 restrict 21:14 29:16 **seen** 9:10 segments 20:7 restricting 75:6 80:3,17 **smell** 72:2

84:21 85:1 smoked 34:6 structure 6:9 72:16.21 53:2 54:7 55:13.13 talks 35:18 **smoking** 70:21,22 71:3 74:11 97:3 59:22 63:22 67:8,10 71:6,20 **structures** 73:6 74:12 tangentially 5:20 67:17,22 68:11 69:10 snippet 23:7 74:18 task 1:3,10 4:8,10 5:10 69:11,21 73:9 75:17 sold 34:3,8 51:20 88:18 struggled 58:16 14:15,20 31:21 41:11 76:1 77:4,6,7 80:7 **solid** 88:3 stuck 93:13 42:13 43:13 45:3 84:21 85:12 86:17 solve 88:6 stuff 16:5 21:4 44:8 47:13 67:5 68:11 73:1 87:3,12,13 88:3,6 **solvent** 10:1,5 47:18 55:22 63:14 74:9 89:21 90:11,22 89:6,18 90:17 92:18 somebody 21:20 59:8 76:6 78:2,16 79:9 tax 42:11,11 84:11,16 93:13,17 94:3,14 97:7 85:14 91:11 92:4,6 98:17 88:18 98:9,12,12,13,15,19 somebody's 69:19 stylistic 31:12 95:3,6,18 96:10 97:2 99:8,10 someday 81:19 submission 90:21 97:13 thinking 33:5 100:7 taxation 3:17 79:12 third 39:10 50:19 51:7 soon 81:19 **sorry** 30:22 57:5 64:15 submit 91:1 89:15 92:1,14 65:4 70:8 79:20 96:2 **subsidy** 26:2 27:10 taxes 52:19 92:6 thorough 4:17 **sort** 4:21 5:4,20 6:8,22 taxpayers 24:19 thoroughly 91:19 substantive 31:19 7:4 14:20 19:20 27:6 suggest 7:11 65:3 teach 47:18 thought 8:17 19:18 teased 47:12 31:9,11,13,16 41:11 suggestion 23:15 27:19 40:16 58:12,13 technical 31:20 41:17 47:7,8,14 49:5 Summaries 4:16 thoughtful 5:6 90:2,12 technically 31:22 69:2 49:6 60:4 83:8,19 summarize 34:11 98:6 87:16 88:16,22 90:10 summary 36:17,18 43:1 tell 33:14 38:3 thoughts 91:13 99:1,13 93:15 94:14,16 43:20 79:8 98:2 telling 53:22 three 16:19 30:18 34:15 **space** 9:19 15:5 24:17 summer 100:10 **temporaries** 48:20,22 34:18 77:13 98:16 24:20 29:15,17 81:15 **support** 57:16 73:2 throw 61:21 term 67:11 81:11 82:1 83:11 terms 4:22 5:8 14:6 tickets 88:18 92:22 **spaces** 15:8 97:13 98:20.20 19:18 32:13 57:20 ties 23:20 **speaking** 46:21 73:19 supporting 89:8 77:2 90:16 92:13 till 20:21 time 5:15,15 10:18 84:7 supports 64:1 65:7 test 69:18 **special** 29:19 **testing** 86:19 11:10 31:7 37:4 44:14 **sure** 8:7 10:2,13 19:8 specifically 7:3 37:6 22:20 23:22 26:16 thank 4:14 27:15,16 44:14,14 53:12 58:8 48:13 72:17 49:15 51:14 63:13.16 30:2 32:4 40:14 48:8 58:22 61:1 83:5,17 spectrum 96:21 66:12 75:4 80:5 85:17 51:9 59:1,4 63:6 74:1 86:9 87:1 89:18,18 74:7 75:2 79:6 93:3 **spend** 5:14 94:21 95:3 94:11 96:7 **spent** 98:13 surprised 83:14 100:8.18 times 27:22 82:7 **spirit** 90:12 91:5 thanks 99:13 100:14,19 **survey** 34:17 title 30:10 31:1.10 **Staff** 2:15 **swipe** 60:5 **THC** 86:8 today 11:1 79:21 90:18 96:16 97:10,15 99:21 stand 93:22 system 84:12,15,17 they'd 29:2 77:10 standard 67:14 85:15,16 86:22 89:8 thing 52:11 56:7 76:8 **Today's** 4:9 start 7:5 8:2,13 11:11 **systems** 85:16 87:21 94:8 100:6,12 **Todd** 1:20 20:20 31:4,5 40:19 things 4:16 5:14,17 tomorrow 56:4 97:16 Т 42:14 51:4,6 67:11 10:19 15:13 17:17 tool 92:21 21:3 22:14 29:20 30:6 95:13 table 10:6 36:1 topical 31:8 **started** 4:4 27:1 41:12 tabled 5:3 30:9 42:18 47:2,20 totally 29:21 48:6 55:7 starts 34:1 39:10 tables 34:21 42:1 44:4 touch 77:12 56:16 57:8 59:11 STATE 1:1 80:4.17 63:13,16 79:16 86:20 touched 83:19 stated 72:17 **TAI** 1:16 90:15,17 92:9,12 town 80:9,11 **statement** 12:3 34:12 take 6:12 11:5 33:9,12 95:16 96:5 100:12 track 77:16 97:7 think 6:2 7:6,7,10,13,14 trade 54:14 88:14 36:6 51:1,6 55:10 **states** 94:11 59:2 69:1 80:20,21 7:17,18,19,21 8:3,3,5 trading 53:8 54:6 55:5 staying 21:4 81:2 100:11 8:9,11 11:3 15:3,5,10 55:11 taken 8:3 53:8 97:22 15:19 16:2,4,14 18:16 traffic 32:21 **steps** 3:20 93:10 stop 9:17 32:21 talk 41:11 44:3,6 61:14 19:5,7,15 21:4 23:5 transact 88:5 storage 14:7 75:11,12 23:10,20 24:7 25:12 72:4 78:1,15 83:1 transactions 87:20 27:8,20 28:16,17 30:9 **strain** 54:3,4 85:3 transcript 4:15 Strategic 1:19 talked 5:20 23:21 28:22 31:7 34:20 36:3,19 transfer 66:5 68:4,6,15 street 1:11 9:12 26:17 29:17 44:11 63:14 38:1 39:19 42:12,14 69:3 70:7 43:10,22 44:2 47:5 treat 49:20 50:2,2 82:15 56:8 talking 38:5,6 72:15 strongly 15:18 81:11 77:18 79:12 84:12,17 50:17 51:15 52:14 85:13

treating 84:13 88:8 treatment 7:13 tricky 32:13 trouble 56:2 true 31:22 try 90:16 99:10 100:6 trying 13:19 26:5,10 87:7 tuck 94:14 turn 99:22 100:4 tweak 40:10 **two** 5:17 7:2,6 26:22 28:16 31:18 39:9 56:22 98:16 two-ounce 68:2 type 6:18 8:12 17:17,17 18:6 22:14 28:5 types 10:18 19:1 29:20 90:8

# U

ultimately 15:10 unable 82:20 unadulterated 64:8,9 67:11 unanswered 70:1 underlined 41:5 underlying 89:1 understand 21:6 23:7 36:20 45:4 60:21 65:16 97:6 uniform 27:7 unique 29:13 **Unit** 27:1 unknowns 82:20 83:18 untoward 83:6 urge 15:18 81:11 use 3:12 9:8 40:6 45:7 46:13 72:9 73:2 92:9 useful 45:19 users 65:9

#### V

vacation 100:11 value 63:22 values 11:13 22:17 vape 71:1 vaping 71:3,6 vapor 70:22 variability 20:6,15,17 variety 22:18 vehicular 22:15 venture 88:17 venues 5:22 verbal 4:18 version 30:5 43:17 versions 37:13 versus 54:4 viewed 11:16 violations 76:16 virtually 4:11 voluntary 21:10 vote 94:2 96:15 97:19 97:22 98:2 voters 19:11 votes 81:21 voting 19:18 97:10,11 vulnerable 13:17

W walking 33:4 99:19 want 5:12 7:15 10:21 14:13 15:9 16:18,19 22:20 25:12 36:20 37:12 40:1 43:7 44:3 49:15 55:12 59:7 60:14,19 63:13,15 64:19 66:11 72:6 75:4 75:22 76:8 78:20 79:22 80:3 81:7.17 93:4 94:8 95:2 99:3 100:8 wanted 6:11 26:5 50:9 62:4 wanting 81:14 82:5 ward 1:18 11:1,8,15 12:5 14:19 15:1,17,20 Washington 1:11 wasn't 24:3 31:15 59:21 60:1

Watson 2:15 30:21 31:1 33:11,14,18 34:4 36:6 38:3,8,10 39:20,22 40:21 41:2 45:12 46:18 50:12 51:17 55:9 56:13 59:17 60:9 62:2,17,20 63:1,7 64:14,19 65:6,19 70:8 74:2,7,15 76:3,20 80:20

way 9:22 11:14 18:3 21:15 25:15 28:17 31:13 45:13,18,21 46:3,8 47:8 50:6 60:2 61:20 69:18 75:1 80:19 82:13 84:5 94:4 100:16

ways 70:20 72:9 we'll 31:4,5 40:2 75:3 75:20 76:12 78:5,7,16 99:15 100:2,3

we're 11:1,2 18:17 19:8 21:5 23:7 24:22 30:9 38:4 47:5 49:15 55:9 56:15 62:6 66:22 67:17 69:22 71:10,10 72:1 75:5 77:22 84:12 84:14 86:18 90:20 91:5

we've 7:8 8:3 9:10 14:5 23:21 27:5 29:18 35:6 41:11 42:6,10 44:10 45:15,22 49:4 54:7 72:16 79:16 81:12 87:13 88:2 90:2 92:18 98:12 100:12 weed 33:4 weeds 59:14 week 20:21 100:5 weekend 56:10

week 20:21 100:5 weekend 56:10 weekends 20:22 weekly 73:17 weigh 22:7,15 23:14 went 100:21 weren't 86:3 whoo 58:19 WILLIAMS 2:16 willing 97:3

willing 97:3 wind 54:21 wish 82:7 wonder 22:1 Word 43:6,17 wording 47:1 49:8 78:12

words 33:14 40:13 work 17:1 24:12,16 25:5,14,19 26:6 27:17 31:6 45:5 47:7,10 53:17 56:3 58:9 75:3 87:15 92:13 98:1,9 99:14 100:2,4 working 4:11 24:18 25:11 86:18

worry 33:18 worth 11:19 wouldn't 10:9 13:2 24:22 39:5 48:14 52:17,18 94:13 95:14

worried 48:9 59:5

write 28:7 64:19 writing 23:6 written 45:17,22 48:11

55:14 wrong 34:21

## X

Υ

year 35:21 36:5 98:16 years 27:1 86:3 97:10 97:17 98:16,17,17 years' 11:19 13:21 yesterday 47:9 48:11 youth 9:9,11 12:7,8 Z

**ZANIEL** 1:21 16:9 30:13 30:16,22 31:2 36:9,18 37:5,9 39:15 40:4,12 51:10,14,18 52:12 53:2 54:15 55:1,8,10 56:16,19 60:14,21 61:3 66:7 71:12 76:14 77:15,20 89:5

zoned 49:11,12 zones 7:20 8:19 zoning 8:18 47:20 48:1 48:16 77:22

#### 0

1

1 1:18 10:05 1:11 10:07 4:2 100 3:22 10:8 100% 26:4 11:30 57:3

**11:43** 100:21 **120** 100:13 **13** 50:11 **14** 49:9 **15** 51:10 86:3

**15** 51:10 86:3 **150** 100:13 **17** 95:4,7

**18-24** 95:21 **19** 1:8

### 2

2 20:21 20 86:3 2014 32:11 2015 37:21 2016 1:8 31:22 2018 95:11 216 1:10 24 51:5 24/7 14:12

## 3

3 20:22 30% 25:21 32 57:3,7

## 4

**4** 3:10 37:20,21 **400** 17:15 18:5 **45** 3:12

**50** 10:17 29:9,10 53:19 54:9

<b>501(c)(3)</b> 52:10,18			
	_		
6	-		
<b>600</b> 17:15			
7	-		
<b>70%</b> 25:22			
<b>71</b> 18:18,21 19:9,16 32:7 34:11 44:15			
53:10,21 54:12,13			
92:11 <b>75%</b> 19:11 17			
<b>75%</b> 19:11,17 <b>79</b> 3:15,18			
8	-		
<b>8</b> 20:21	-		
<b>899</b> 1:10			
9	-		
<b>9(d)</b> 89:7 <b>93</b> 3:20	=		
<b>93</b> 3:20			
		l	l

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Marijuana Private Club Task Force

Before: DC State Health Planning

Date: 08-19-16

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &